

School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) Template

The School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) is meant to consolidate all school-level planning efforts into one plan for programs funded through the consolidated application (ConApp), pursuant to the California Education Code (EC) Section 64001 and the Elementary and Secondary Education Act as amended by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

The purpose of the SPSA is to increase the overall effectiveness of the school program by crafting a strategic plan that maximizes the resources available to the school while minimizing duplication of effort with the ultimate goal of increasing student achievement.

The School Site Council (SSC) is required to develop and annually review the SPSA, establish an annual budget, and make modifications in the plan to reflect changing needs and priorities, as applicable, pursuant to EC 52853(b) and 52855.

California's ESSA State Plan significantly shifts the state's approach to the utilization of federal resources in support of underserved student groups. The SPSA provides schools with the opportunity to document their approach to maximizing the impact of federal investments in support of underserved students.

The implementation of ESSA in California presents an opportunity for schools to innovate with their federally-funded programs and align them with the priority goals of the school and the LEA that are being realized under the state's Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF).

LCFF provides schools and LEAs flexibility to design programs and provide services that meet the needs of students in order to achieve readiness for college, career, and lifelong learning. The SPSA planning process supports continuous cycles of action, reflection, and improvement.

| School Name | Cahuilla Elementary School |
|----------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|
| Address | 833 E. Mesquite Avenue Palm Springs, CA 92262-0119 |
| County-District-School (CDS) Code | 33671736032395 |
| Principal | Ryan E. Saunders, Ed.D. |
| District Name | Palm Springs Unified School District |
| SPSA Revision Date | 07/01/2022-06/30/2023 |
| Schoolsite Council (SSC) Approval Date | 10/19/2022 |
| Local Board Approval Date | 11/22/2022 |

X This certifies that updates to my SPSA are completed

In the pages that follow, please describe the school's plan for making the best use of federal ESEA resources in alignment with other federal, state, and local programs.

Table of Contents

| SPSA Title Page | 1 |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------|----|
| Table of Contents | 2 |
| School Vision and Mission | 4 |
| School Profile | 4 |
| Purpose and Description | 6 |
| Stakeholder Involvement | 7 |
| Resource Inequities | 8 |
| Needs Assessment – Review of Performance | 9 |
| Reflections: Success | 9 |
| Reflections: Identified Need | 11 |
| School and Student Performance Data | 14 |
| Student Enrollment | 14 |
| Student Population | 16 |
| Overall Performance | 18 |
| Academic Performance | 19 |
| Academic Engagement | 24 |
| Conditions & Climate | 27 |
| Annual Review and Update | 30 |
| Goal 1 – Increased Academic Achievement | 30 |
| Goal 2 – Parent Engagement | 36 |
| Goal 3 – Safe and Healthy Learning Environment | 39 |
| Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures | 43 |
| Goal 1 | 43 |
| Goal 2 | 53 |
| Goal 3 | 56 |
| Centralized Services for Planned Improvements in Student Performance | 61 |
| Budget Summary and Consolidation | 63 |
| Budget Summary | 63 |
| Allocations by Funding Source | 63 |
| Other Federal, State, and Local Funds | 63 |
| Expenditures by Funding Source | 65 |
| Expenditures by Budget Reference | 66 |
| Expenditures by Budget Reference and Funding Source | 67 |
| School Site Council Membership | 68 |
| Recommendations and Assurances | 69 |
| Title I and LCFF Funded Program Evaluation | 70 |

School Vision and Mission

PSUSD & Cahuilla Vision:

Lifelong learning starts here.

PSUSD Mission: All members of PSUSD are united in our commitment to equity. We strive to create deep, meaningful learning opportunities, build professionalism, and engage parents and community, to ensure success for all students. All students graduate with the skills, capacities and confidence needed to succeed in a rapidly changing world.

Cahuilla Mission- Professional Learning Community:

The staff of Cahuilla elementary will utilize scheduled planning time to respectfully collaborate and discuss ideas to improve the teaching process; Planning time will be used to guide the implementation of curriculum which will directly impact student achievement; Teachers will analyze student data, share effective research-based teaching strategies, and conscientiously implement the agreed upon action plan; Staff will share common goals for student behavior and academic success.

Cahuilla Mission- School Climate:

In order to advance our shared vision of an exemplary school we will work collaboratively and treat each other with respect; Achieve a safe, clean, and positive learning environment; Promote school unity and pride where staff and students want to be; and Implement a conflict-management model and recognition for good behavior throughout all grade levels.

Cahuilla Mission- Parents/Community:

At Cahuilla elementary we will foster community and parental involvement; We will have a robust volunteer program, facilitate community relations, and generate school resources. Parents are valued as an integral part of our school community.

School Profile

Cahuilla Elementary School is located in Palm Springs, California. Cahuilla serves approximately 415 students in grades Transitional Kindergarten through Fifth. Our demographics are as follows: 70.3% of the students are Hispanic, 10.5% are White, 9.6% are African American, and 1.9% are Filipino/Asian, and .9% represent Am. Indian/Alaskan Natives. Approximately 96.3% are Social-economically Disadvantaged, 27.2% are English Learners, 8.4% are Students with Disabilities, <1% are Foster Youth, and 1.6% qualify as Homeless Youth.

We are proud to be a 1:1 Chromebook school. All students in Transitional Kindergarten-5th grade are provided a computer for use during instruction. We believe our students, as twenty-first century learners, need technology to be competitive not only in their academic careers but for college and career aspirations. We are excited to offer this program to our students and are very proud of our students for excelling in technology.

Our school evaluates the effectiveness of our SPSA after SBAC scores are released, and our SSC, Staff, and Leadership Team had the opportunity to review all student achievement data. Monitoring comments will be added to our SPSA throughout the year. Revisions to our SPSA, and subsequent Board approval, occurs if there are substantial budget and /or material changes during the school year. For the 2022/2023, our site utilized Local Assessments to monitor student progress and adjust the instructional programming delivered to students.

The SPSA is updated annually by the Cahuilla School Site Council. Our school works with the district to be in alignment with the LEA plan for Palm Springs Unified School District. To provide a cohesive effort in site, district, state, and federal goals, we work in conjunction with the district on the following: benchmark exams, pacing guides aligned to state standards, development of the our school plan, and development of school budget.

Special Education:

Content and Performance Standards

Instruction within a collaborative service delivery model will reflect content and performance standards and will ensure access to the core curriculum for all students. All special education students are mainstreamed to the greatest level according to least restrictive environment, and interact with their general education peers in a variety of academic and social settings. They participate in the same assessments models, with appropriate accommodations and supports, as their general education peers. Special Education teachers collaborate in a PLC model to ensure the instruction in Special Education settings align with the instructional strategies of the general education classroom. Our speech

language pathologist (SLP) provides support to struggling students through an Response to Intervention (RtI) model. She supports students through classroom-based interventions and works collaboratively with a team of educators. The SLP is available to explain the role language plays in curriculum, assessment, and instruction. She can explain the interconnection between spoken and written language, and collaborates with educators to conduct scientifically based literacy assessment and intervention approaches. The SLP provides support to students through an RtI model and provides the duration, intensity, and types of services that a student with communication disabilities may need. SLP collaborates with classroom teachers to provide services and support for student with communication disabilities including support through evidence based practices for speech and/or language services or RtI interventions at any Tier. She will conduct expanded speech sound error screening for K students to track student at risk and intervene with those who are highly stimulable and may respond to intense short-term interventions during a prolonged screening process rather than being placed in special education.

Assessment Strategies:

Teachers use a variety of assessments for various purposes. Diagnostic assessments are used to determine reading levels of all students at Cahuilla. The teachers use a combination of formative and interim assessments to monitor student achievement. For 2022/2023, K-2 will use assessments to inform their instruction and adjust their instructional delivery, as well as provide an end of year assessment of overall mastery. All assessments will be Common Core Standards aligned. In grades 3-5, ICA's, and district directed interim assessments, will be used as formative assessments in two instructional segments, at the end of each trimester, to monitor progress mastery. SMART goals will be created based upon formative assessments to drive best first instruction. The ELPAC will be used to assess the progress of English Learners. End of Year Assessments created by PSUSD will be implemented in Grades K-2. Smarter Balanced Assessments or district direction interim assessments will be used for Grades 3-5. To promote and ensure equity, student data will be analyzed in terms of subgroups as well as the overall class/grade level performance.

Instructional Strategies:

Cahuilla implements a tiered approach to meeting the cognitive and affective needs of students. We have a tiered response system for academics and the social-emotional development of students. We are launching an MTSS model to frame our tiered systems of response for Academics, Social-Emotional Learning, and Behavior.

ACADEMICS:

Tier 1: Best First Instruction--teachers work collaboratively using the PLC model to develop instructional approaches that incorporate the adopted core curriculum and approved supplemental resources. They are directly aligned with the pacing/roadmap established by PSUSD, and use research based strategies to design learning experiences for students. As a part of this Tier 1--all students are regrouped within their classroom in to one of three levels: Intensive, Strategic, and Benchmark/Advanced, and students are given additional instruction at their level of need--emphasizing reading and reading comprehension. Instruction is guided by formal and informal assessments, and progress towards mastery are monitored by interim assessments known as benchmarks (Gr. K-2) and ICA's/district directed assessments (Gr. 3-5). During the day, students are regrouped across the grade level to target language development (Designated ELD), and all students receive language development support at their level; even if they are native English speakers. All students participate in Guided Reading to the frequency needed to address their needs. Tier II- students who need additional support receive support from Literacy Action Plans, additional Guided Reading, and small group instruction as needed. Tier III--Teacher Tutoring, district provided SES tutoring, and after school program support are all in place; in addition, Cahuilla sets aside money to pay teachers to provide targeted tutoring for students not participating in other programs.

The SST process will transition to an MTSS Model to target the level of support for students, including referrals to special education or 504 support if necessary. A key to this tiered program being successful is the deliberate placement of students. All classes have a heterogeneous grouped instructional setting, and students are then homogeneously grouped within their classroom for additional support. 100% of students receive a reading ability screening via the district selected program each year. In addition, all students are afforded the opportunity to be GATE assessed via teacher, parent, and grade-wide screening in third grade. Teachers will use the SMART goal model to develop goals to drive learning based upon the results of their Interim Assessments. ELA, Math, ELD, Science/History have minimum blocks of instructional minutes to be met each week. Cahuilla has a system in place to ensure all Grade 1-5 students receive 100 minutes of physical education.

SOCIAL-EMOTIONAL:

Tier 1: Best First Instruction--the program Second Steps is implemented during the instructional day. This curriculum has a two pronged approach: social-emotional learning and bully prevention. The curriculum is integrated into the instructional program when appropriate, and may be stand alone instructional blocks as well. Cahuilla has a full time school counselor who provides supports in all three Tiers to students as needed. Cahuilla implements a structured recess to ensure students are taught appropriate social skills when interacting on the playground and prevent bullying. Tier II-When students need additional help, they enter into the SST process. The school counselor will be available to provide small group training for targeted behavior replacements, as well as general counseling in socal-emotional

development. Students who demonstrate a greater need will move into Tier III--and referred to PSUSD Mental Health to provide group and or family counseling/therapy to those in need.

Pre-School:

To ensure a smooth transition from Early Childhood Education (ECE) to Kindergarten, one Kindergarten teacher from each elementary school will meet three times each year with ECE teachers to discuss the necessary skills needed for a successful ECE to Kindergarten transition. These meetings will be held at the District Office and facilitated by the ECE Principal who shall gather input from the elementary school principals and/or Kindergarten department leads. In the spring of each year, the parents of ECE students will have the opportunity to attend an informational Kindergarten meeting at the elementary school to meet the elementary school principal and Kindergarten teachers. The ECE parents will be provided with instructional materials and supplies to assist their students over the summer. The incoming ECE students will also have the opportunity to visit the Kindergarten classrooms in the spring. These articulation efforts and meetings will be supported through centralized Title I funds.

BEHAVIOR:

The Teacher on Special Assignment's focus will be directed to providing behavioral support within the MTSS model. Tier 1: Provide explicit instruction, in collaboration with the staff, on the behavioral expectations for students. Students will continue to be rewarded for positive behavior by earning Cahuilla Bucks for the Student Store, The Self-Manager Acknowledgement System. Tier 2: Students will receive additional behavioral support in the form of an SST/MTSS meeting to identify the cause of behaviors and apply research based strategies to remediate behaviors. Restorative practices will be used to resolve conflict, as well as other means of correction in order to reduce the suspension rate of students who demonstrate behavioral struggles. Tier 3: student who demonstrate significant need, and do not demonstrate growth as a result of the Tier 1 and 2 strategies, will receive a Behavior Support Plan that will co-developed with the TOSA and MTSS Team. Referral to other agencies, as needed, will be considered as well.

Instructional Materials

Wonders Core & GATE Guided Reading Curriculum-ELA, Bridges Core & Dream Box Supplemental-Math, Amplify-Science, and Reflections - Social Studies resources along with supplemental materials. Supplemental resources include Read Works or NewsELA to provide supplemental resources for informational text.

Cahuilla Elementary School receives a portion of services that are centrally managed by the District. Some of these services include curriculum and instructional support, local testing services, data analysis training, collaboration training, intervention assistance, professional development, and early childhood education. Funds are centrally allocated as follows:

- Title I Supplemental Education Services (SES)
- Title I Program Improvement -
- Title I Professional Development
- Title I Parent Participation -
- Title I Homeless Education
- Title I Early Childhood Education (ECE)

Other site services provided by the district include those funded with Title II, Title III, and Economic Impact Aide.

Purpose and Description

Briefly describe the purpose of this plan (Select from Schoolwide Program, Comprehensive Support and Improvement, Targeted Support and Improvement, or Additional Targeted Support and Improvement)

Schoolwide Program

Briefly describe the school's plan for effectively meeting the ESSA requirements in alignment with the Local Control and Accountability Plan and other federal, state, and local programs.

Cahuilla Elementary School Site Council meets regularly during the school year to review and update the school plan, including proposed expenditures for Title I. In addition, Cahuilla ELAC (when constituted) meets regularly during the year to review data and provide ongoing feedback to the School Site Council regarding English Learners and various

programs. School goals are based upon comprehensive needs assessments that include the analysis of verifiable state data, including information displaying on the CA School Dashboard. Other district and school data, including interim assessments and common formative assessments, are utilized to further measure and monitor student achievement throughout the school year. School goals are aligned with the PSUSD LCAP goals and include the same metrics/indicators. Input and advice is solicited from all stakeholders via the Panorama survey, ELAC (when constituted) whole staff surveys, and Leadership Team. The Cahuilla School Plan addresses how LCFF and Title I funds will be used to improve the academic performance of all students and close the student achievement gap.

Stakeholder Involvement

How, when, and with whom did the school consult as part of the planning process for this SPSA/Annual Review and Update?

Involvement Process for the SPSA and Annual Review and Update

School Site Council Election Dates and Results:

SSC Election Dates and Results:

Teacher Election Process:

Teacher Requests for nominations went out via Email and Google Survey/Classroom on September 1st. Teachers were given three full days to nominate themselves. The ballots were sent via Google Survey on September 9th. The voting window closed at the end of September 14th. As a result of the elections, Ms. Rose Distefano was elected to the position.

Parent Election Process:

A notice for parent nominations for SSC were posted on our website and Class DoJo on September 2nd. The opportunity to self-nominate closed on September 8—six days later. The ballots were created and sent via a Google Survey on September 9th. Parents were provided a link to the elections on September 9th by two methods: Blackboard and ClassDojo. The voting window closed on September 14th. Over the course of the six days, I sent multiple reminders using Blackboard and DoJo to remind/encourage parents to vote. At the end of the election, four new parents were elected to a two year term: Ms. Cristal Riccio (57.6% of the votes), Ms. Kate Ambrose (50.8% of the votes), Ms. Alyssa Singer (47.5% of the votes), and Ms. Maribel Cantu (44.1% of the votes).

Other Election Process:

The election for the "other" position was conducted in the exact same manner as the teachers. All timelines were exactly the same as well.

Title I Meeting:

August 26, 2021-Virtual Meeting

SSC Meeting Dates and Topics:

- -09/15/2021--SSC Annual Training
- -10/21/2021--Review of vacancies and member election results, UCP form, Review and Approve By-laws, Elect Officers.
- -02/24/2022--SSC/ Community Meeting to conduct LCAP Input, Review Interim Assessment Data including Reading Levels, Interim Assessment Data on ELA, Math, EL, Discipline, and Attendance, Budget Monitoring
- -03/17/2022--Data Review, Review Intervention Program Data, Monitor SPSA Goal/Actions & Budget.
- -04/26/2022--Review Draft/Proposed SPSA and Vote.

ELAC Meeting Dates and Topics:

SSC Continued to assume the role of ELAC for the 2nd year as agreed upon last year.

Leadership Team Dates and Topics:

08/24/2021--STAR Assessment Data Review & Professional Development Plan for school year.

09/21/2021--SMART Goals, Grade Level Intervention, MTSS PD and start Self-Assessment, Science Adoption 10/19/2021--Refine the Rethink Tier 2 behavior intervention sheet, High Impact PD steps, Monthly Communication to parents around learning objectives, MTSS Discussion and continue of self-assessment.

11/16/2021--MTSS Self-Assessment/Reflection Tool, Creation of Student Store and Cahuilla Bucks.

12/14/2021--CAES Writing Units and Expectations (PD), Continue with MTSS Self-Assessment/Reflection, Data Review

in PLC's

02/16/2022--Identifying our current practices that align to MTSS Model and placing them in their Tiers, Follow-Up on Parent Communication Letter on Learning Goals, STAR Group Reporting Reminder to Drive Instructional Planning. 03/15/2022--STAR Benchmark Data Review, ELPAC Discussion, Mask Guidance.

04/28/2022--Bell Schedules for 2022/2023, Duty Schedules, preparation for SBAC.

05/17/2022--Preparation for End of Year Events, Assessment Update and Interim Report, 21/22 Planning Details

Grade Level/Staff Team Meetings: Results Disseminated to Staff

01/25/2022--Google SPSA Input Form was shared with All Certificated and Classified Staff to rank and provide feedback on current SPSA Actions and to make recommendations. Data was collected in a quantitative and qualitative format to promote maximum input.

04/26/2022--Panorama Survey Results Shared with Staff

Parent Advisory Meeting:

09/16/2021--Culture and Climate Discussion and Input: Diversity & Racial Equity

12/07/2021--Instructional Programming Input, Culture and Climate Input, Overall Communication Input (low turnout) Based on the evaluation of the implementation and the effectiveness of the SPSA Actions (see Annual Evaluation and Needs Assessment section) and the review of the PSUSD Interim Assessment Reports, Chronic Attendance and Suspension Data, and Panorama

Coffee with The Principal:

10/08/2021--Review Parent Engagement and Introduce PSUSD Representative and Solicit Input

11/12/2021--Review SEL Program-Solicit Input

12/10/2021--Increase Parent Input and Update on Forming of New PTA, Solicit Input

Survey the school site council made the following adjustments to the SPSA:

Actions to Maintain: TOSA--shift focus to provide Behavioral Support in an MTSS model, Supp. Materials & Supplies as well as supplemental educational software, Academic Field trips, tutoring, paid teacher collaboration and planning with emphasis on MTSS, Supervision Aide Supplemental Support.

Actions to Remove: SEL and Academic Intervention (Pre-SST and SST collaboration during school day)--does not need LCAP funding any longer.

Actions to Continue with Modifications: Tutoring-increase in allocation based upon this years implementation and need, increase supervision aide support for safety, Instructional Materials and Supplies to fund Robotics Club, Student Store materials, etc.

The council will review the assessments used to inform progress for the 2022/2023 school year (see the school profile).

Resource Inequities

Briefly identify and describe any resource inequities identified as a result of the required needs assessment, as applicable. A review of the CA Dashboard data shows the following four significant groups: SED, EL, Hispanic, and White. All four groups were represented in the 2022/2023 plan. No resource inequities were present.

A review of the interim assessment data and Panorama survey data did provide the following needs/themes: 1) Behavior: an 8.2 percent increase in office/discipline referrals from this time last year, 2) Suspension Rate is up by 10 incidents from this time last year (rate of 1.5%), 3) Chronic Absenteeism increased by 36.8% from this time last year, 4) Panorama Survey: Safety is down by 9%, Effective Response to Discipline is down by 11%, and Disrespect by students dropped to 54% favorable response (down 29%), 5) STAR MATH CURRENT GROWTH RATE is 15% lower than the district goal, and 6) STAR READING CURRENT STUDENT GROWTH RATE is 22.1% below the district average.

In conclusion the following themes emerged as needs: 1) Increase academic growth in Math and Reading, 2) Increase the student and staff perception around discipline and systemic responses, 3) Decrease Chronic Absenteeism. The 2022/2023 plan will really focus on implementing strategies that make progress in developing a comprehensive MTSS Plan.

Needs Assessment – Review of Performance

Based on a review of performance on the state indicators and local performance indicators included in the California School Dashboard, progress toward SPSA goals, local self-assessment tools, stakeholder input, or other information, what progress is the school proudest of, and how does the school plan to maintain or build upon that success? This may include identifying any specific examples of how past increases or improvements in services for student groups, including low-income students, English learners, and foster youth have led to improved performance for these students.

Fall of 2021:

Attendance: Our current overall attendance rate is 87.06%.

Success: While this is a concern, it is right at the district average. There are no significant performance gaps between the school average and students with disabilities, African American or Black, or Hispanic students.

Suspension Data: Cahuilla currently has two students receiving suspensions. Success: While this is an increase from the following year, it is still below the district average.

To reflect on the successes of Academics, Cahuilla is going to reflect on the Fall 2021 STAR data. The assessment serves as a universal screening to identify the current status of the grade levels and indicate the level of support students might need. It is important to note that this assessment may assess standards that have not been taught yet, and it is worth noting that many of the standards are spiraling; thus, students should have some background knowledge of many of the standards.

Kindergarten: 17% at or above and 83% are not meeting. Of these, 43% are in need of intensive support. First: 34% at or above and 66% are not meeting. Of these, 42% are in need of intensive support.

Second: 7% are at or above, and 93% are not. 52% are in need of intensive support.

Third: 32% are at or above and 68% are not. 33% are in need of intensive support. Fourth: 29% are at or above and 71% are not. 43% are in need of intensive support.

Fifth: 33% are at or above and 67% are not. 33% are in need of intensive support.

Math:

First: 53% are at or above and 47% are not. 16% are in need of intensive support.

Second: 15% are at or above and 85% are not. 20% are in need of intensive support, with 65% near or only in need of strategic support.

Third: 35% are at or above and 65% are not. 32% are in need of intensive support. Fourth: 34% are at or above and 66% are not. 34% are in need of intensive support. Fifth: 36% are at or above and 64% are not. 33% are in need of intensive support.

Reflections: Success

Success:

ELA: The data shows that 3rd and 5th grade need less amount of intensive support than the other grade levels. Even though the majority of students are not at or above, a large group of students need strategic support and are projected to be at grade level by the end of the year.

Math: The majority of 2nd grade demonstrate a need for strategic support or are near grade level already. This can mean that students will likely recover with the best first instruction during the 2021/2022 school year. Grade 3 and 5 began the school year scoring slightly better at the start of the year than they did at the end of the 2020/2021 school year. Cahuilla students are generally scoring higher in math than ELA. This is not usually the case.

Spring of 2022:

Attendance: Cahuilla's overall attendance rate, to date, is 86.44% which is .36% lower than the district average. It also puts us in the middle third of our district (though, this is still an overall decline from our normal attendance rates).

Suspensions: To date, Cahuilla Elementary had 10 incidents that resulted in a suspension an 0 expulsion. Those this is a significant increase from last year, it is still a downward three year trend.

ELA: Reflects the Most Recent Benchmark (The third benchmark is given later in May). TK/K: At Or Above grew to 22 students from 7, On Watch grew from 8 students to 10, and Intervention students grew from 8 to 16, and Urgent Intervention decreased from 19 to 8. This means the general pattern of growth is moving in the right direction.

First: At or Above grew from 19 to 25, On Watch grew from 5 to 10, Intervention grew from 10 to 16, and Urgent Intervention decreased from 23 to 15. This means the general pattern of growth is moving in the right direction.

Second: At or Above grew from 5 to 10, On Watch grew from 2 to 10, Intervention dropped from 13 to 9, and Urgent Intervention dropped from 28 to 31. This means the general pattern of growth is moving in the right direction.

Third: At or Above grew from 20 to 26, On Watch grew from 8 to 9, Intervention decreased from 12 to 5, and Urgent Intervention grew from 19 to 20. This means that students who were in urgent intervention did not get the support they needed.

Fourth: At or Above grew from 17 to 20, On Watch grew from 5 to 12, and Intervention grew from 12 to 18, and Urgent Intervention decreased from 26 to 19. This means the general pattern of growth is moving in the right direction.

Fifth: At or Above grew from 17 to 21, On Watch grew from 9 to 14, Intervention grew from 11 to 16, and Urgent Intervention decreased from 20 to 17. This means the general pattern of growth is moving in the right direction.

ELA:

SWD: 0% at or above with 52.9% at or above typical growth rate.

EL: 15.7% at or above with 66.7% at or above typical growth rate.

Hisp.: 31.8% at or above with 67.8% at or above typical growth rate.

AA: 22.2% at or above with 31.3% at or above typical growth rate.

White: 47.4% at or above with 60% at or above typical growth rate.

Math:

First: At or Above decreased from 29 to 24, On Watch stayed the same at 7 to 7, Intervention grew from 5 to 6, and Urgent Intervention decreased from 11 to 4. This means that some at or above students regressed to On Watch or needed intervention. Students in urgent intervention dropped. There needs to be a focus on Tier 1 Math instruction.

Second: At or Above grew from 11 to 15, On Watch grew from 9 to 12, Intervention dropped from 27 to 17, and Urgent Intervention grew from 11 to 13. This means that instruction is working for students who are at or above, on watch, or in a regular intervention. Students in need of intensive intervention need more support.

Third: At or Above grew from 22 to 28, On Watch dropped from 7 to 5, Intervention dropped from 12 to 8, and Urgent Intervention grew from 16 to 17. Overall, majority of students made growth with the exception of students in need of urgent intervention. These students need more support.

Fourth: At or Above grew from 20 to 27, On Watch grew from 5 to 12, Intervention stayed consistent at 12 to 12, and Urgent Intervention decreased from 21 to 20. Good growth in At or above and On Watch, but intervention students need more support.

Fifth: At or Above grew from 21 to 26, On Watch grew from 8 to 12, Intervention grew from 12 to 13, and Urgent Intervention decreased by 23 to 18. This means the general pattern of growth is moving in the right direction.

Math:

SWD: 19.2% at or above with 59.1% scored at or above typical growth rate.

EL: 32.9% at or above with 60.9% scoring at or above typical growth rate.

Hisp.: 45.5% at or above with 64.4% scoring at or above typical growth.

AA: 34.6% with a growth rate of 59.1% scored at or above typical growth.

White: 55.2% at or above with 55.6% scoring at or above typical growth.

Staff: Climate Survey:

Climate of Support for Academic Learning: 90% favorable response (which is down 6%). We are within 1% of the district average.

Knowledge and Fairness of Discipline, Rules, and Norms: 88% favorable response (which is down 8%). We are also 8% above district average.

Safety: 85% favorable response (which is down 9%). We are 15% over the district average.

School Leadership: 65% favorable responses (which is down15%). We are even with the district average of 65%.

Sense of Belonging: 56% favorable responses (which is down 26%). We are below the district average by 21%. This is a significant drop and concern.

Student Climate Survey:

Climate of Support for Academic Learning: 76% favorable response (which is down 5%). We are within 7% of the district average.

Knowledge and Fairness of Discipline, Rules, and Norms: 74% favorable responses (which is down 10%) and is within 4% of the district average.

Safety: 60% favorable responses, which is within 3% of the district average.

Sense of Belonging: 70% favorable response which was within 6% of the district average.

Family Climate Survey:

Climate of Support for Academic Learning: 90% favorable responses (which is down 2%) and within 3% of the district average.

Knowledge and Fairness of Discipline, Rules, and Norms: 93% favorable responses (which is up 3%) and is above the district average by 1%.

Safety: 98% favorable response which is 5% over the district average.

Sense of Belonging: 96% favorable response (which is up 2%) and 3% over the district average.

The following items supported these successes:

The teams fully utilized the collaboration time that was allocated to staff members during the planning times and 2:1:2 times.

Teachers regularly attended professional development and implemented new learning.

Grade levels really focused on writing and the High Impact Math Strategies.

A goal of the staff was to focus on empathy and really target SEL supports to students during the year. This is something that needs to be continued and further developed.

******Fall of 2022

Schoolwide SBAC: ELA-62% Not Met or Nearly Met, 38% Met or Exceeded.

Schoolwide SBAC: Math--76% Standard Not Met or Nearly Met, 24% Met or Exceeded

Distance from Average: ELA

Grade 3: -25 Grade 4: -38 Grade 5: -38

Distance from Average: Math

Grade 3: -29 Grade 4: -54 Grade 5: -81 Schoolwide ELPAC: Level 3 and 4: 41% Level 1 and 2: 59%

Suspension Rate: 3.4%

Chronic Absenteeism (Moderate to Severe): 54.91%

A review of the fall academic data supports the current SPSA action plan and the planned actions to address the academic, behavioral, and attendance concerns. The SBAC results were close to the predicted results (ICA and STAR) used to write the SPSA in the Springs. The behavioral and attendance data used was not changed.

Referring to the California School Dashboard, identify: (a) any state indicator or local performance indicator for which overall performance was in the "Red" or "Orange" performance category or any areas that the school has determined need significant improvement based on review of state indicators, local performance indicators or other local indicators AND (b) identify any state indicator for which performance for any student group was two or more performance levels below the "all student" performance. What steps is the school planning to take to address these areas of low performance and performance gaps?

Fall of 2021:

Attendance: Cahuilla's attendance patterns are as such: Satisfactory: 43.80%, At Risk: 11.14%, Moderate Chronic: 20.25%, Severe Chronic: 24.81%. All areas of attendance, save Severe Chronic, are below the district averages. Severe Chronic Absenteeism exceeds the district average by 2.64%. This is an area that will need attention during the 2021/2022 school year.

Reflections: Identified Need

Suspensions: There have been two instances of students being suspended from August of 2021-October of 2021. This is an increase of two suspensions from the 2020/2021 school year. This is a data point that will need to be monitored, though it is to be expected since students have returned to in-person learning. There will be a need to ensure mental health support is in place for returning students who continue to act out, as well as increase active supervision in order to provide greater emphasis on the prevention of behaviors that can lead to suspensions.

To reflect on the successes of Academics, Cahuilla is going to reflect on the Fall 2021 STAR data. The assessment serves as a universal screening to identify the current status of the grade levels and indicate the level of support students might need. It is important to note that this assessment may assess standards that have not been taught yet, and it is worth noting that many of the standards are spiraling; thus, students should have some background knowledge of many of the standards.

ELA:

Kindergarten: 17% at or above and 83% are not meeting. Of these, 43% are in need of intensive support.

First: 34% at or above and 66% are not meeting. Of these, 42% are in need of intensive support.

Second: 7% are at or above, and 93% are not. 52% are in need of intensive support. Third: 32% are at or above and 68% are not. 33% are in need of intensive support. Fourth: 29% are at or above and 71% are not. 43% are in need of intensive support. Fifth: 33% are at or above and 67% are not. 33% are in need of intensive support.

Math

First: 53% are at or above and 47% are not. 16% are in need of intensive support.

Second: 15% are at or above and 85% are not. 20% are in need of intensive support, with 65% near or only in need of strategic support.

Third: 35% are at or above and 65% are not. 32% are in need of intensive support. Fourth: 34% are at or above and 66% are not. 34% are in need of intensive support. Fifth: 36% are at or above and 64% are not. 33% are in need of intensive support.

Needs

ELA: All grade levels are going to need intensive support and access to quality best first instruction practices as we return to school in in-person learning with ongoing restrictions. The additional funds will be used to provide additional tutoring opportunities, intensive intervention from the intervention teacher, and additional supervision.

Math: Our current 2nd graders are going to need the most intensives support in Mathematics. Other grade levels have higher groups of students in need of strategic support that can be supported with best first instruction and small group intervention as well.

Spring of 2022:

Upon reviewing the data, the following needs were identified:

ELA:

English Learners (14.5% proficiency rate) did not perform as well as Non-English Learners (39% proficiency rate) on the Reading Benchmarks.

African Americans (25% proficiency rate) did not perform as well as White (36.4% proficiency rate), LatinX (32% proficiency rate) or Asian/Filipino (50/100% proficiency rate) students.

Students with Disabilities (15.6% proficiency rate) did not perform as well as students without a disability (43.2% proficiency rate).

MATH:

English Learners (28.2% proficiency rate) did not perform as well as Non-English Learners (42.1% proficiency rate) on the Math Benchmarks.

African Americans (20% proficiency rate) did not perform as well as White (44.8% proficiency rate), LatinX (40.5% proficiency rate) or Asian/Filipino (70% proficiency rate) students.

Students with Disabilities (5% proficiency rate) did not perform as well as students without a disability (37.2% proficiency rate).

Attendance:

African American: 85.1% attendance rate.

White: 86.2% attendance rate. LatinX: 87.5% attendance rate.

Asian/Filipino: 92.7%/90.6% attendance rates.

Students with Disabilities (86.2% attendance rates) and Students without Disabilities (87.3% attendance

rates).

English Learners: 89.4% attendance rates. Non-English Learners: 85.4% attendance rats.

Suspension:

African American: 0

White: 0

Asian/Filipino: 0 LatinX: 6 Multiple: 4

To address these needs, the 2022/2023 SPSA will reflect continued, deleted, and modified actions. Actions to Maintain: TOSA--shift focus to provide Behavioral Support in an MTSS model, Supp. Materials & Supplies as well as supplemental educational software, Academic Field trips, tutoring, paid teacher collaboration and planning with emphasis on MTSS, Supervision Aide Supplemental Support. Target African American Student support in ELA and Math, additional targeted support for EL's in ELA and Math. Actions to Remove: SEL and Academic Intervention (Pre-SST and SST collaboration during school day)--does not need LCAP funding any longer.

Actions to Continue with Modifications: Tutoring-increase in allocation based upon this years implementation and need, increase supervision aide support for safety, Instructional Materials and Supplies to fund Robotics Club, Student Store materials, etc.

The council will review the assessments used to inform progress for the 2022/2023 school year (see the school profile).

Overall: In conclusion the following themes emerged as needs: 1) Increase academic growth in Math and Reading, 2) Increase the student and staff perception around discipline and systemic responses, 3) Decrease Chronic Absenteeism. The 2022/2023 plan will really focus on implementing strategies that make progress in developing a comprehensive MTSS Plan.

******Fall of 2022

Schoolwide SBAC: ELA-62% Not Met or Nearly Met, 38% Met or Exceeded.

Schoolwide SBAC: Math--76% Standard Not Met or Nearly Met, 24% Met or Exceeded

Distance from Average: ELA

Grade 3: -25 Grade 4: -38 Grade 5: -38

Distance from Average: Math

Grade 3: -29 Grade 4: -54 Grade 5: -81

Schoolwide ELPAC: Level 3 and 4: 41% Level 1 and 2: 59%

Suspension Rate: 3.4%

Chronic Absenteeism (Moderate to Severe): 54.91%

A review of the fall academic data supports the current SPSA action plan and the planned actions to address the academic, behavioral, and attendance concerns. The SBAC results were close to the predicted results (ICA and STAR) used to write the SPSA in the Springs. The behavioral and attendance data used was not changed.

Student Enrollment Enrollment By Student Group

| Student Enrollment by Subgroup | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|--------|-------------------|----------------|--------------------|-------|-------|
| | Per | cent of Enrollr | nent | Number of Students | | |
| Student Group | 18-19 | 18-19 19-20 20-21 | | 18-19 | 19-20 | 20-21 |
| American Indian | 0.57% | 0.62% | 0.9% | 3 | 3 | 4 |
| African American | 9.71% | 8.7% | 9.6% | 51 | 42 | 41 |
| Asian | 0.38% | 0.83% | 1.2% | 2 | 4 | 5 |
| Filipino | 3.24% | 3.11% | 1.9% | 17 | 15 | 8 |
| Hispanic/Latino | 68.57% | 71.64% | 70.3% | 360 | 346 | 301 |
| Pacific Islander | % | 0% | % | | 0 | |
| White | 12.76% | 10.56% | 10.5% | 67 | 51 | 45 |
| Multiple/No Response | 4.76% | 4.55% | 5.6% | 25 | 22 | 24 |
| | | Tot | tal Enrollment | 525 | 483 | 428 |

Student Enrollment Enrollment By Grade Level

| Student Enrollment by Grade Level | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|--------------------|-------|--|--|--|
| O va da | | Number of Students | | | | |
| Grade | 18-19 19-20 | | 20-21 | | | |
| Kindergarten | 94 | 80 | 73 | | | |
| Grade 1 | 99 | 83 | 66 | | | |
| Grade 2 | 74 | 89 | 70 | | | |
| Grade3 | 79 | 75 | 82 | | | |
| Grade 4 | 83 | 70 | 70 | | | |
| Grade 5 | 96 | 86 | 67 | | | |
| Total Enrollment | 525 | 483 | 428 | | | |

Student Enrollment English Learner (EL) Enrollment

| English Learner (EL) Enrollment | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------------------|--------------------|-------|-------|---------------------|-------|--------|
| | Number of Students | | | Percent of Students | | |
| Student Group | 18-19 | 19-20 | 20-21 | 18-19 | 19-20 | 20-21 |
| English Learners | 169 | 140 | 107 | 32.2% | 29.0% | 25.00% |
| Fluent English Proficient (FEP) | 49 | 54 | 57 | 9.3% | 11.2% | 13.30% |
| Reclassified Fluent English Proficient (RFEP) | 26 | 28 | 25 | 12.9% | 16.6% | 23.4% |

Student Population

For the past two years, many state and federal accountability requirements were waived or adjusted due to the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on LEAs, schools, and students. Beginning with the 2021-22 school year, the requirements to hold schools and districts accountable for student outcomes has returned with the release of the 2022 California School Dashboard (Dashboard). The Every Student Succeeds Act is requiring all states to determine schools eligible for support. Similarly, under state law, Assembly Bill (AB) 130, which was signed into law in 2021, mandates the return of the Dashboard using only current year performance data to determine LEAs for support. Therefore, to meet this state requirement, only the 2021-22 school year data will be reported on the 2022 Dashboard for state indicators. (Data for Change [or the difference from prior year] and performance colors will not be reported.)

This section provides information about the school's student population.

| 2021-22 Student Population | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Total Enrollment | Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | English Learners | Foster Youth | | | |
| 411 | 91.2 | 27.5 | 1.2 | | | |
| Total Number of Students enrolled | Students who are eligible for free | Students who are learning to | Students whose well being is the | | | |

in Cahuilla Elementary School. or reduced priced meals; or have parents/guardians who did not receive a high school diploma.

communicate effectively in English, typically requiring instruction in both the English Language and in their academic courses.

responsibility of a court.

| 2021-22 Enrollment for All Students/Student Group | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------------------|-----|------|--|--|--|--|
| Student Group Total Percentage | | | | | | |
| English Learners | 113 | 27.5 | | | | |
| Foster Youth | 5 | 1.2 | | | | |
| Homeless | 63 | 15.3 | | | | |
| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | 375 | 91.2 | | | | |
| Students with Disabilities | 41 | 10.0 | | | | |

| Enrollment by Race/Ethnicity | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|-----|------|--|--|--|--|
| Student Group Total Percentage | | | | | | |
| African American | 38 | 9.2 | | | | |
| American Indian | 7 | 1.7 | | | | |
| Asian | 4 | 1.0 | | | | |
| Filipino | 6 | 1.5 | | | | |
| Hispanic | 287 | 69.8 | | | | |
| Two or More Races | 28 | 6.8 | | | | |
| Pacific Islander | | | | | | |
| White | 41 | 10.0 | | | | |

Conclusions based on this data:

Overall Performance


Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, state law allows the 2022 Dashboard to only display the most current year of data (also known as Status). For this year only, performance levels will be reported using one of five Status levels (ranging from Very High, High, Medium, Low, and Very Low) for state measures. Please note that the Status levels associated with the Chronic Absenteeism and Suspension Rate Indicators are reversed (ranging from Very Low, Low, Medium, High, and Very High). Information regarding this year's Dashboard data is available within the Dashboard Communications Toolkit.


Because performance on state measures is based on current year (i.e., 2021-22) results only for the 2022 Dashboard, the color dials have been replaced with one of five Status levels (ranging from Very High, High, Medium, Low, and Very Low).




2022 Fall Dashboard Overall Performance for All Students









Conclusions based on this data:


Academic Performance English Language Arts

Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, state law allows the 2022 Dashboard to only display the most current year of data (also known as Status). For this year only, performance levels will be reported using one of five Status levels (ranging from Very High, High, Medium, Low, and Very Low) for state measures. Please note that the Status levels associated with the Chronic Absenteeism and Suspension Rate Indicators are reversed (ranging from Very Low, Low, Medium, High, and Very High). Information regarding this year's Dashboard data is available within the Dashboard Communications Toolkit.

Because performance on state measures is based on current year (i.e., 2021-22) results only for the 2022 Dashboard, the color dials have been replaced with one of five Status levels (ranging from Very High, High, Medium, Low, and Very Low).





This section provides number of student groups in each level.





This section provides a view of how well students are meeting grade-level standards on the English Language Arts assessment. This measure is based on student performance on either the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment or the California Alternate Assessment, which is taken annually by students in grades 3–8 and grade 11.


2022 Fall Dashboard English Language Arts Performance for All Students/Student Group

















This section provides additional information on distance from standard for current English learners, prior or Reclassified English learners, and English Only students in English Language Arts.

Conclusions based on this data:


Academic Performance Mathematics

Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, state law allows the 2022 Dashboard to only display the most current year of data (also known as Status). For this year only, performance levels will be reported using one of five Status levels (ranging from Very High, High, Medium, Low, and Very Low) for state measures. Please note that the Status levels associated with the Chronic Absenteeism and Suspension Rate Indicators are reversed (ranging from Very Low, Low, Medium, High, and Very High). Information regarding this year's Dashboard data is available within the Dashboard Communications Toolkit.

Because performance on state measures is based on current year (i.e., 2021-22) results only for the 2022 Dashboard, the color dials have been replaced with one of five Status levels (ranging from Very High, High, Medium, Low, and Very Low).





This section provides number of student groups in each level.





This section provides a view of how well students are meeting grade-level standards on the Mathematics assessment. This measure is based on student performance either on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment or the California Alternate Assessment, which is taken annually by students in grades 3–8 and grade 11.


2022 Fall Dashboard Mathematics Performance for All Students/Student Group All Students English Learners Foster Youth

















This section provides additional information on distance from standard for current English learners, prior or Reclassified English learners, and English Only students in mathematics


Conclusions based on this data:

Academic Performance English Learner Progress

Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, state law allows the 2022 Dashboard to only display the most current year of data (also known as Status). For this year only, performance levels will be reported using one of five Status levels (ranging from Very High, High, Medium, Low, and Very Low) for state measures. Please note that the Status levels associated with the Chronic Absenteeism and Suspension Rate Indicators are reversed (ranging from Very Low, Low, Medium, High, and Very High). Information regarding this year's Dashboard data is available within the Dashboard Communications Toolkit.

This section provides information on the percentage of current EL students making progress towards English language proficiency or maintaining the highest level.

2022 Fall Dashboard English Learner Progress Indicator



This section provides a view of the percentage of current EL students who progressed at least one ELPI level, maintained ELPI level 4, maintained lower ELPI levels (i.e, levels 1, 2L, 2H, 3L, or 3H), or decreased at least one ELPI Level.

2022 Fall Dashboard Student English Language Acquisition Results

| Decreased | Maintained ELPI Level 1, | Maintained | Progressed At Least |
|----------------|--------------------------|--------------|---------------------|
| One ELPI Level | 2L, 2H, 3L, or 3H | ELPI Level 4 | One ELPI Level |
| 16.5% | 42.4% | 0.0% | 41.2% |

Conclusions based on this data:


Academic Engagement Chronic Absenteeism

Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, state law allows the 2022 Dashboard to only display the most current year of data (also known as Status). For this year only, performance levels will be reported using one of five Status levels (ranging from Very High, High, Medium, Low, and Very Low) for state measures. Please note that the Status levels associated with the Chronic Absenteeism and Suspension Rate Indicators are reversed (ranging from Very Low, Low, Medium, High, and Very High). Information regarding this year's Dashboard data is available within the Dashboard Communications Toolkit.

Because performance on state measures is based on current year (i.e., 2021-22) results only for the 2022 Dashboard, the color dials have been replaced with one of five Status levels (ranging from Very High, High, Medium, Low, and Very Low).





This section provides number of student groups in each level.





This section provides information about the percentage of students in kindergarten through grade 8 who are absent 10 percent or more of the instructional days they were enrolled.


2022 Fall Dashboard Chronic Absenteeism for All Students/Student Group


















2022 Fall Dashboard Chronic Absenteeism by Race/Ethnicity










Pacific Islander









Conclusions based on this data:


Conditions & Climate Suspension Rate

Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, state law allows the 2022 Dashboard to only display the most current year of data (also known as Status). For this year only, performance levels will be reported using one of five Status levels (ranging from Very High, High, Medium, Low, and Very Low) for state measures. Please note that the Status levels associated with the Chronic Absenteeism and Suspension Rate Indicators are reversed (ranging from Very Low, Low, Medium, High, and Very High). Information regarding this year's Dashboard data is available within the Dashboard Communications Toolkit.

Because performance on state measures is based on current year (i.e., 2021-22) results only for the 2022 Dashboard, the color dials have been replaced with one of five Status levels (ranging from Very High, High, Medium, Low, and Very Low).





This section provides number of student groups in each level.





This section provides information about the percentage of students in kindergarten through grade 12 who have been suspended at least once in a given school year. Students who are suspended multiple times are only counted once.


2022 Fall Dashboard Suspension Rate for All Students/Student Group

















2022 Fall Dashboard Suspension Rate by Race/Ethnicity










Pacific Islander









Conclusions based on this data:

Annual Review and Update

SPSA Year Reviewed: 2021-22

Goal 1 - Increased Academic Achievement

Cahuilla Elementary will work to close the achievement gap in significant student groups and increase student achievement by, 1) providing best first instruction, 2) targeted intervention and support, 3) access to quality instructional materials, supplies, and programming.

DFS/Percentag

standard

70 points below

Annual Measurable Outcomes

Metric/Indicator

Expected Outcomes

Actual Outcomes

California School Dashboard -Academic Indicator for English Language Arts All Students (ALL) English Learners (EL) Hispanic (Hisp) African American (AA) Socioeconomically Disadvantaged (SED) Students with Disabilities (SWD)

| St. Group | Color | DFS/Percentag e | Change |
|-----------|----------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|
| All | Yellow | 5 points below standard | Increase +14.1 points (to move column) |
| EL | Yellow | 5 points below standard | Increase +13.8 points (to move rows) |
| Hisp | Yellow | 5 points below standard | Increase 17.2 points (to move rows) |
| AA | No Performance Color | 29 points below standard | Increase 15 points (to move column) |
| SED | Vallow | 5 points below | Increase 18.9 points |

Yellow

Orange

SED

SWD

California School Dashboard -Academic Indicator for Mathematics All Students (ALL) English Learners (EL) Hispanic (Hisp) African American (AA) Socioeconomically Disadvantaged (SED)

| | | standard | columns) |
|-----------|--------|----------------------------|------------------------------------|
| St. Group | Color | DFS/Percentag e | Change |
| All | Yellow | 25 points below standard | Increase 7.9 points (to move rows) |
| EL | Yellow | 17.6 points below standard | Increase 10 points (to |

The following actual outcomes utilize the District Benchmark STAR Reading Proficiency Rates. Due to the pandemic, the California School Update was not provided in the fall of 2021. The most recent data is Benchmark #2 of the 2021/2022 school vear.

All Students: 34.1% English Learners: 14.5%

Hispanic: 32%

African American: 25% SED: Not Available

SWD: 5%

(to move rows) Increase

48.7 points

The following actual outcomes utilize the District Benchmark STAR Reading Proficiency Rates. Due to the pandemic, the California School Update was not provided in the fall of 2021.

All Students: 39.9% English Learners: 28.2%

Hispanic: 40.5% African American: 25%

| Metric/Indicator | Expected Outcomes | | | Actual Outcomes | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Students with Disabilities (SWD) | | | | move a column) | SED: Not Available SWD: 20% |
| | Hisp | Yellow | 23.9 points below standard | Increase 10 points (to move column) | |
| | AA | No performance color | 51.9 points below standard | Increase 20 points (to move column) | |
| | SED | Yellow | 25 points below standard | Increase 14.2 points (to move column) | |
| | SWD | Orange | 95.1 points below standard | Inrease 44.4 points (to move column) | |
| California Science Test - Percent of Students Who Meet or Exceed Standard Grade 5 | California Science Test - Percent of Students Who Meet or Exceed Standard Grade 5 - 44.21% (54.74% were nearly met so the goal is to reduce this by half). | | | | No 2021/2022 data source. |
| California School Dashboard – English Learner Progress Indicator (ELPI) | California School Dashboard - English Learner Progress Indicator (ELPI)ELPAC Baseline Results:44% Dashboard Status and Percentage – Medium45% of students making progress toward English Proficiency. Level 4: Well Developed-25% or greater Level 3: Moderately Developed-40% or greater Level 2: Somewhat Developed-26% or less Level 1: Beginning Stage-10% or less | | | um45% of Proficiency. | Level 4: 1.79% Well Developed Level 3: 33.04% Moderately Developed Level 2: 44.64% Somewhat Developed Level 1: 20.54% Minimally Developed |
| English Learner Redesignated Fluent English Proficient (RFEP) Reclassification Rate | English Learner Redesignated Fluent English Proficient (RFEP) Reclassification Rate 18.6% | | | ish | English Learner Redesignated Fluent English Proficient (RFEP) Reclassification Rate: 24% |
| 3rd Grade Smarter Balanced Assessment Consortium English | 3rd Grade Smarter Balanced Assessment Consortium English Language Arts (SBAC ELA) Results. (Percent of Students who Met or Exceeded Standard) | | | ts. | As of March 2022, STAR Benchmark #2 Results (most recent): All Students (ALL) ELA - 34.1% |

| Metric/Indicator | Expected Outcomes | Actual Outcomes |
|-----------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Language Arts (SBAC ELA) Results All Students (ALL) | All Students (ALL) ELA - 57% English Learners (EL): 47% Hispanic (Hisp): 55% African American (AA): 39% Socioeconomically Disadvantaged (SED): 55% Students with Disabilities (SWD): 29% | English Learners (EL): 29% Hispanic (Hisp): 42% African American (AA): 50% Socioeconomically Disadvantaged (SED): Students with Disabilities (SWD): 0% |
| Williams Textbook/Materials Compliance | Williams Textbook/Materials Compliance -100% | Williams Textbook/Materials Compliance -100% |

Strategies/Activities for Goal 1

| Planned Actions/Services | Actual Actions/Services | Proposed Expenditures | Estimated Actual Expenditures |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| This position was fully implemented. This action will be modified for the next year to shift from collaboration and professional development to intervention to students in order to mprove academic achievement as vital part of our schoolwide earning loss recovery plan. This position was fully implemented. This action will be modified for the next year to shift from collaboration and professional development to intervention teacher. This person an intervention teacher to MTSS Behavior TOSA> | A designated person to provide coaching and support to teacher and direct intervention support to students. 1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries Title I 111,172 | Differences are due to 2 factors: 1) PCF changed to reflect adjustments to TITLE 1 and LCFF Allocations, and 2) Personnel Salary Increase. 1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries Title I 80,435 | |
| | | A designated person to provide coaching and support to teacher and direct intervention support to students. 1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries LCFF 31,797 | Differences are due to 2 factors: 1) PCF changed to reflect adjustments to TITLE 1 and LCFF Allocations, and 2) Personnel Salary Increase. 1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries LCFF 47,149 |
| Targeted Professional DevelopmentTeacher Early Return for Collaboration and Planning | This action was partially implemented. The PD/Collaboration goals were addressed, but some staff | Pay teachers to come back and collaborated on: 1) Refine Mental Math/Bridges Routines, 2) Writing Plan, 3) Schoolwide Learning | Differences are due to 1) Teachers leaving school site, 2) Teachers not attending after they RSVP'ed. |

| Planned Actions/Services | Actual Actions/Services | Proposed Expenditures | Estimated Actual Expenditures |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | members did not attend for a variety of reasons. | Recovery Plan, and 4) Design an SEL Plan. 1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries LCFF 14,600 | 1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries LCFF 7,720 |
| Tier II: Teacher Tutoring | This action was fully implemented. It will be funded at higher levels for the following school year. | Teachers will provide tutoring before or after school to Tier II or Tier III students in need of additional supportprioritizing SWD and EL groups. 1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries LCFF 3500 | Tutoring was provided for an extended period of time. Transfers from under utilized actions were made to cover the overage. 1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries LCFF 4969 |
| Tier I: Academic Supplemental Software: NewsELA /Head Sprout- -Additional Software that support distance learning and hybrid learning. | These programs were purchased and fully implemented. | Teachers will be provided with an additional software that provides access to additional informational texts at various reading levels. NewsELA, Headsprout, Zoom Field Trips, Learning Dynamics, and Bookflix 4000-4999: Books And Supplies LCFF 11,300 | Action fully funded. 4000-4999: Books And Supplies LCFF 11,158 |
| Supplemental Best First Instruction Materials and Supplies to support intervention and implementation of curriculum. | This action was partially implemented. Additional Kagan items were not purchased due to ongoing COVID restrictions for most of the year. Other items were purchased. PSUSD provided many of the supplementary items intended for purchase. | Teachers will be provided supplemental technology items to supplement items purchased in the general budget: Toner, Headphones, Equipment, paper, etc. Including materials to support professional develoment goals from High Impact, Kris Tom, Kagan, and ELD/SPED Training and support. 4000-4999: Books And Supplies | District ended up providing headphones, Kagan materials not ordered due to pandemic, other purchases ended up being made from OPEN PO in general budget. 7712 |

| Planned Actions/Services | Actual Actions/Services | Proposed Expenditures | Estimated Actual Expenditures |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | | LCFF 15,472 | |
| | | Teachers will be provided supplemental technology items to supplement items purchased in the general budget: Toner, Headphones, Equipment, paper, etc. 4000-4999: Books And Supplies Title I 716 | District ended up providing headphones, Kagan materials not ordered due to pandemic, other purchases ended up being made from OPEN PO in general budget. 4000-4999: Books And Supplies Title I 0.00 |
| Tier II Support for SEL and Academic Intervention (Pre-SST and SST Process) | This action was implemented, but the costs ended up being significantly less since each site was provided a resident substitute teacher. | SST, Action-Learning Walks, Prof. Development SubsThese meetings will be conducted during non-student time in order to avoid disrupting class and needing guest teachers. 5700-5799: Transfers Of Direct Costs LCFF 6,000 | Many of these items were able to be covered with an inhouse substitute provided by the district. 5700-5799: Transfers Of Direct Costs LCFF 903.00 |
| Academic Enrichment Fieldtrips Virtual Field Trips | This action was partially implemented. Due to COVID, actual field trips were not purchased, but zoom field trips was purchased. Time constraints made it difficult this year due to time changes and students being on campus. | Each grade level will receive an allocation to ensure all students receive academically enriching field trips regardless of ability to fundraise. These field trips will now be done in a virtual manner. None Specified LCFF 6,000 | Field Trips were not approved until the near end of school and it was too late to schedule and provide field trips. None Specified LCFF 0.00 |
| Small Group/Para Support | This action was not implemented. | Pay paraprofessionals extra duty to provide more in-class support to teachers and facilitate running small groups interventions as a | Pandemic limited, for a large portion of the year, for adults to intermingle in multiple classes. 2000-2999: Classified Personnel Salaries |

| Planned | Actual | Proposed | Estimated Actual Expenditures |
|------------------|------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Actions/Services | Actions/Services | Expenditures | |
| | | part of our schoolwide learning loss recovery plan. 2000-2999: Classified Personnel Salaries LCFF 6,000 | LCFF 0.00 |

Analysis

Describe the overall implementation of the strategies/activities and the overall effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.

Overall, strategies that could be implemented without pandemic restrictions were implemented. The TOSA did provide very strong reading intervention to students, though the amount of students services was a smaller percentage of the student body based upon qualifying criteria for the intervention program and grade level targets. Tutoring was well funded and expended, and there is a need to try and recruit more students to participate.

Briefly describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.

The pandemic negatively impacted our ability to fully or partially fund some of the actions: Field trips, Para rotations in classrooms, Action Walks. In addition, the district provided additional materials intended to be funded by the site (headphones, chromebooks, etc).

Describe any changes that will be made to this goal, the annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.

TOSA will refocus efforts on a behavioral aspect of an MTSS System.

Substitute teachers for collaboration during they day will be discontinued.

Paras for rotations will be discontinued.

The teacher paid early return will continue, but the training will focus on MTSS.

Tutoring will continue and will target African American students and English Learners.

Annual Review and Update

SPSA Year Reviewed: 2021-22

Goal 2 – Parent Engagement

Increase the engagement levels of families in school activities.

Work to increase the Cahuilla attendance rate from 93.78% to the district goal of 96%.

Annual Measurable Outcomes

| Metric/Indicator | Expected Outcomes | Actual Outcomes |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Parent Participation in Stakeholder Input Processes | Parent Participation in Stakeholder Input Processes - Increase by 28% to 35%. | CAES received 76 survey responses. This is approximately 19% |
| Family School Connectedness via Panorama Family Climate Survey All Students (ALL) Hispanic (Hisp) African American (AA) | Family School Connectedness via Panorama Family Climate Survey All Students (ALL) - Not to fall below 95% Hispanic (Hisp) -Not to fall below 95% African American (AA) -Not to fall below 95% Confidentiality Protected: Not to fall below 95% | All Students: 96% Hispanic: 97% African American: Not reported Confidentiality Protected: 93% |
| Climate of Support for Academic Learning via Panorama Family Climate Survey All Students (ALL) Hispanic (Hisp) African American (AA) | Climate of Support for Academic Learning via Panorama Family Climate Survey All Students (ALL) - Maintain (not fall below 95%) Hispanic (Hisp) -Maintain (not fall below 95%) African American (AA) -Maintain (not fall below 95%) Confidentiality Protected: Not to fall below 95% | All Students: 90% Hispanic: 93% African American: Not Reported Confidentiality Protected: 100% |
| Number of Attendees Attending 1 or more school/parent center sponsored events at site | Number of Parent Attendees attending 1 or more site/parent center sponsored events - Will aim to increase 42% to 45%. | Approximately 46% attended a site specific event. Zoom was very beneficial to events like Parent/Teacher Conferences, but was not effective for other engagements. |

Strategies/Activities for Goal 2

| Planned Actions/Services | Actual Actions/Services | Proposed Expenditures | Estimated Actual Expenditures |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Family Engagement EventsCore Content and Enrichment Events SITE: CAES African American Parent Advisory Council, GATE Parent Advisory Council, ELAC | implemented due to ongoing COVID restrictions of visitors on campus. | Provide bilingual translators or interpreters who will help translate in meetings, conferences, and communication materials. 2000-2999: Classified Personnel Salaries LCFF 1,964 | There were no real after hours events that required translations. All translations for events were able to be provided by employees during the school day. This action will be removed. Zoom proved to be challenging again to engage parents in an advisory capacity. We developed a core group of 5-6 people who participated. ELAC continued to be delegated to SSC for the second year. 2000-2999: Classified Personnel Salaries LCFF |
| | | Provide Content Specific Nights to promote parent engagement and learning around grade level standards. Title I Part A: Parent Involvement 1,871 | The pandemic continued to limit events that allowed parents to come onto campus. Title I Part A: Parent Involvement 0.00 |
| Attendance Intervention and Support Team | This action was implemented with a significant modification due to COVID. Many students experienced COVID related quarantine or illness absences; thus, making it difficult to know who was habitually absent for non-health related issues. Attendance was addressed with families in SST's, IEP's, P/T Conference meetings. | Engage parents in support process when their children are experiencing chronic absenteeism. 0001-0999: Unrestricted: Locally Defined LCFF 0.00 | Attendance concerns were addressed through the SST process to help determine in order to avoid perceptions that students being impacted by COVID were being penalized. 0001-0999: Unrestricted: Locally Defined LCFF 0.00 |

Analysis

Describe the overall implementation of the strategies/activities and the overall effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.

Overall, these actions were significantly impaired by the pandemic. Zoom proved to be a challenge to get parents engaged. We did successfully create a very small PTO, and this core group attended advisory meetings (zoom), Coffee with The Principal (zoom), and SSC. Despite the lack of activities, Panorama Survey results indicate parents still feel strongly connected to the school/district.

Briefly describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.

All parent engagement events struggled to be effectively implemented due to the pandemic. As mentioned, a core group were dedicated but engaging parents in an interactive manner was more challenging. This action will be continued for one more year to see if things open up and parents can become more physically present on campus.

Describe any changes that will be made to this goal, the annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.

There will not be significant changes made to this goal. The Support for Academic Learning goals were not met, but were not significantly missed either. They will remain. The School Connectedness goals were met and will continue.

Annual Review and Update

SPSA Year Reviewed: 2021-22

Goal 3 – Safe and Healthy Learning Environment

Cahuilla will implement a Tiered program to address the socio-emotional needs of students and staff.

- 1) ensure students, staff, and families reply with favorable responses in the panorama survey on the topics of Climate and Support for Academic Learning, Knowledge & Fairness of Discipline, Rules, and Norms, Safety, and Sense of Belonging. Cahuilla will strive to be in the 80-99th percentile for the overall responses on the surveys.
- 2) As for students and SEL, we will provide programs to increase favorable responses in growth mindset and self-management. The goal will be to have responses land in the 80-99th percentile for favorable responses.

Annual Measurable Outcomes

Metric/Indicator

Expected Outcomes

Actual Outcomes

| Student Attendance Rates | , |
|--------------------------|---|
| All Students (ALL) | |

Student Attendance Rates All Students (ALL) - 96% As of April 2022: All Students: 86.9% (this includes students with H Code Exemptions due to COVID).

Chronic Absenteeism Rates
All Students (ALL))
English Learner (EL)
Hispanic (Hisp)
African American (AA)
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged
(SED)
Students with Disabilities (SWD)

| St. Group | Color | DFS/Percentag e | Change |
|-----------|--------|--------------------|------------------------------------------------|
| All | Yellow | 9% | Delined Decrease by 2% |
| EL | Green | 7.5% | Declined Decrease by 1.1% |
| Hisp | Green | 8.3% | Declined Decrease by 2% |
| AA | Green | 15% | Significantly Declined Decrease by 3% |
| SED | Green | 10% | Decline Decrease by 1.7% |
| SWD | Green | 10% | Decline Decrease by 2.7% |

As of April 2022: All Students: 58.7% English Learners: Hispanic: 57.1%

African American: 60.9%

SED: SWD: 53.2%

These totals include students who were excluded due to H

Code: COVID.

Metric/Indicator

Expected Outcomes

Actual Outcomes

| Suspension Rates: |
|----------------------------------|
| |
| All Students (ALL) |
| English Learner (EL) |
| Hispanic (Hisp) |
| African American (AA) |
| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged |
| (SED) |
| Students with Disabilities (SWD) |

| St. Group | Color | DFS/Percentag e | Change |
|-----------|--------|--------------------|------------------------------------------|
| All | Green | 1% | Decline Decrease by .4% |
| EL | Blue | 0 | Maintain Maintain less than .5% |
| Hisp | Blue | 0.5% | Decline Decrease by .3% |
| AA | Blue | 0 | Maintain Maintain less than .5% |
| SED | Green | .9% | Decline Decrease by .3% |
| SWD | Yellow | 2.5% | Decline Decrease by .3% |

As of April 2022:

All Students:1.5% English Learners: Hispanic: 1.2%

African Americans:0.0%

SED: SWD: 2.1%

Expulsion Rates All Students (ALL) English Learner (EL) Hispanic (Hisp) African American (AA) Expulsion Rates
All Students (ALL):0%
English Learner (EL):0%
Hispanic (Hisp):0%
African American (AA):0%

As of April 2022: All Students (ALL):0% English Learner (EL):0% Hispanic (Hisp):0% African American (AA):0%

Panorama Survey – School Connectedness All Students (ALL) English Learner (EL) Hispanic (Hisp) African American (AA) Panorama Survey - School Connectedness All Students (ALL) -80% Favorable Responses English Learner (EL) -80% Favorable Responses Hispanic (Hisp) - 87% Favorable Responses African American (AA) -80% Favorable Responses Panorama Survey - School Connectedness All Students (ALL) -76% Favorable Responses English Learner (EL) -68% Favorable Responses (average) Hispanic (Hisp) - 71% Favorable Responses African American (AA) -67% Favorable Responses

Panorama Survey – School Safety All Students (ALL) English Learner (EL) Hispanic (Hisp) African American (AA) Panorama Survey – School Safety All Students (ALL) -75% English Learner (EL) -75% Hispanic (Hisp) - 75% African American (AA) - 75% Panorama Survey – School Safety All Students (ALL) -60% English Learner (EL) -60% Hispanic (Hisp) - 61% African American (AA) - 60%

| Metric/Indicator | Expected Outcomes | Actual Outcomes |
|--------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| | | |
| Williams Facilities Inspection | Williams Facilities Inspection Results -Met | Williams Facilities Inspection Results -Met |
| Results | , 11 11 11 | , |

Strategies/Activities for Goal 3

| Planned Actions/Services | Actual Actions/Services | Proposed Expenditures | Estimated Actual Expenditures |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Mental Health Support | This action was partially implemented. The position was vacant for a portion of the year. | Provide individual or family mental health therapy and support to families in crisis. 1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries LCFF 9,235 | The position was very challenging to fill for the majority of the year. A person has been hired and begun providing services, and the district will eventually bill the site and encumber the funds. This action is going to be discontinued. 1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries LCFF 4,800 |
| Self-Manager Program | This action was fully imlemented. | Students gain input from a variety of stakeholders who evaluate them on a rubric aligned to the Character Counts Program. Bring a focus to SEL aspects to help ensure it is a focus in this program. 0.00 | This program continued to be a success. We have more than 85 self-managers. 0.00 |
| Supplemental Supervision Aides | This action was fully implemented. Costs were significantly less due to staff changeover and changes in benefits costs. | Additional support to provide supervision and ensure a safe learning environment for students before and during school. 2000-2999: Classified Personnel Salaries | The district ended up providing extra duty for the school sites during the pandemic. 2000-2999: Classified Personnel Salaries LCFF |

| Planned | Actual | Proposed | Estimated Actual |
|------------------|------------------|----------------|------------------|
| Actions/Services | Actions/Services | Expenditures | Expenditures |
| | | LCFF 24,000 | 11,801.00 |

Analysis

Describe the overall implementation of the strategies/activities and the overall effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.

Overall, the mental health action was not fully implemented due to staffing shortages.

The self-manager action continues to be very successful with more than 85 self-managers.

Supervision aides were fully implemented, with some staff changes throughout the year, but were effective in providing additional supervision.

Briefly describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.

The mental health support was very challenging to provide due to staffing shortages. A staff member was hired and services students for a portion of the year. The positions were filled and implemented as expected, but the cost difference is due to the new staff member selecting a different benefits package that was less expensive.

Describe any changes that will be made to this goal, the annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.

The Mental Health action will be discontinued. Self-Manager program will continue and we will shoot for 100 students in the program. Supervision aide support will be continued and increased.

The district funded much of the additional support that was intended to be funded by the site. In addition, an employee resigned and the position was vacant for a short duration. The new employee selected a different benefits plan that was cheaper.

Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures

Goal 1

Increase Academic Achievement

Goal Statement

Cahuilla Elementary will work to close the achievement gap in significant student groups and increase student achievement by, 1) providing best first instruction, 2) targeted intervention and support, 3) access to quality instructional materials, supplies, and programming.

LCAP Goal

All Palm Springs Unified School District students will demonstrate mastery of grade level content and will graduate high school prepared with the academic and technical skills necessary for college and career success.

Identified Need

2022/2023 Identified Needs:

Spring of 2022:

Upon reviewing the data, the following needs were identified:

ELA: Needs Statements--

English Learners (14.5% proficiency rate) did not perform as well as Non-English Learners (39% proficiency rate) on the Reading Benchmarks.

African Americans (25% proficiency rate) did not perform as well as White (36.4% proficiency rate), LatinX (32% proficiency rate) or Asian/Filipino (50/100% proficiency rate) students.

Students with Disabilities (15.6% proficiency rate) did not perform as well as students without a disability (43.2% proficiency rate).

MATH:

English Learners (28.2% proficiency rate) did not perform as well as Non-English Learners (42.1% proficiency rate) on the Math Benchmarks.

African Americans (20% proficiency rate) did not perform as well as White (44.8% proficiency rate), LatinX (40.5% proficiency rate) or Asian/Filipino (70% proficiency rate) students.

Students with Disabilities (5% proficiency rate) did not perform as well as students without a disability (37.2% proficiency rate).

Attendance:

African American: 85.1% attendance rate.

White: 86.2% attendance rate. LatinX: 87.5% attendance rate.

Asian/Filipino: 92.7%/90.6% attendance rates.

Students with Disabilities (86.2% attendance rates) and Students without Disabilities (87.3% attendance rates).

English Learners: 89.4% attendance rates. Non-English Learners: 85.4% attendance rats.

Need: African American students have the lowest attendance rates. They should be targeted with attendance support in the MTSS Plan.

Suspension:

African American: 0

White: 0

Asian/Filipino: 0

LatinX: 6 Multiple: 4

Need: LatinX students were suspended more frequently than others. Additional interventions in an MTSS model is necessary.

Due to the fact that there were no state assessments in 2020 and no fully updated CA Dashboard in 2020 all state-related expected outcomes have been maintained from the 20-21 plans.

The most recent CA Dashboard data indicate the following:

Academics: Overall, Cahuilla is making progress on the 5x5 Matrix and Dashboard. All students and subgroups are in a Yellow status in ELA and Math-except for SWD. A greater increase in focus on SWD's is necessary. They are the only group not in Yellow or higher status. Interim data show they are one of the groups that were projected to make growth, but still not at an acceptable rate. This plan eliminates some strategies, while maintaining others with an adjusted focus on SWD.

ELPI shows that a greater emphasis on EL's will need to be a priority. The current strategies in this plan will have some of their goals adjusted to focus on these students and target Designated and Integrated ELD support.

Based upon a review of Interim Assessment Data, DIBEL's, ELPI Data, Dashboard Data, Panorama Survey Responses, and various SPSA Input meetings, the following will occur:

Maintained Actions: TOSA--greater focus on monitoring EL and SWD Data, Supp. Materials & Supplies, SEL and Academic Intervention (Pre-SST and SST collaboration during school day), Academic Field trips, Kagan Materials (emphasis on EL & SWD Strategies), Parent Support-Translations, Parent Engagement Classes, Attendance Smoothie Bicycle Parties, Playworks Equipment, Self-Manager Program (emphasis SEL Skills)

Removed Actions: Early Return PD (action served its purpose), Designated ELD 1 Hour support person (cost of action not yielding the needed benefits), Pre-SST Referral Manual (action served its purpose), Raptor Funding (district fully funds)

Modified Actions: Tutoring-Priority given to EL's and SWDS, Supplemental Academic Software, Saturday Enrichment-decrease frequency and target EL and SWD, Paraprofessional-Behavior (emphasize SEL aspects), supervision aides

At the school site council meeting on October 15, 2020, the council reviewed the most recent "loss of learning data" as well as monthly attendance data for August and September. The data shows the following:

Imagine Learning Benchmark #1--52 Kindergarten students took the assessment and 5.8% were below in ELA. In Math, 74.9% were below or well below. Note: Not all students completed the assessment and it was realized that students had a great deal of assistance with ELA assessment from someone within the home. Imagine Learning Benchmark #1--48 students completed the assessment and 16.7% were below in ELA. In Math, 86% were below or well below. Imagine Learning Benchmark #1--523 students completed the assessment and 40% were below or well below in ELA. In Math, 69% were below or well below.

School City--Gr. 3--73 students completed the assessment with 57% scoring below expectations or unsatisfactory in ELA and 63% in Math scoring below expectations or unsatisfactory.

School City--Gr. 4--65 students completed the assessment with 83% scoring below expectations or unsatisfactory in ELA and 73% in Math scoring below expectations or unsatisfactory.

School City--Gr. 5--61 students completed the assessment with 64% scoring below expectations or unsatisfactory in ELA and 73% in Math scoring below expectations or unsatisfactory.

Trends: Student data, with the exception of Kindergarten in ELA, indicates students have been negatively impacted by a loss of learning as a result of the pandemic and loss of instruction. There is a great need to provide intensive support to these students in order to narrow the gap widened by the pandemic and school closures.

Measuring and Reporting Results

Metric/Indicator Baseline

California School Dashboard Academic Indicator for English
Language Arts
All Students (ALL)
English Learners (EL)
Hispanic (Hisp)
African American (AA)
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged
(SED)
Students with Disabilities (SWD)

| St. Group | Color | DFS/Percentage | Change |
|-----------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------------------------|
| All | Yellow | 19.1 points below standard | Increased ++14.3 points |
| EL | Yellow | 18.8 points below standard | Increased Significantly ++16.6 points |
| Hisp | Yellow | 22.2 points below standard | Increased Significantly ++16.3 points |
| AA | No Performance Color | 44.6 points below standard | Increased Significantly ++19.2 points |
| SED | Yellow | 23.9 points below standard | Increased Significantly ++19.7 points |
| SWD | Red | 118.7 points below standard | Declined - 3.6 points |

California School Dashboard -Academic Indicator for Mathematics All Students (ALL) English Learners (EL) Hispanic (Hisp) African American (AA) Socioeconomically Disadvantaged (SED) Students with Disabilities (SWD)

| St. Group | Color | DFS/Percentage | Change |
|-----------|--------|----------------------------|------------------------------------------------|
| All | Yellow | 32.9 points below standard | Increased ++12.5 points |
| EL | Yellow | 27.6 points below standard | Increased Significantly ++20.6 points |
| Hisp | Yellow | 33.9 points below standard | Increased Significantly ++19.6 points |

Expected Outcome

| St. Group | Color | DFS/Percentage | Change |
|-----------|----------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| All | Yellow | 5 points below standard | Increase +14.1 points (to move column) |
| EL | Yellow | 5 points below standard | Increase +13.8 points (to move rows) |
| Hisp | Yellow | 5 points below standard | Increase 17.2 points (to move rows) |
| AA | No Performance | 29 points below standard | Increase 15 points (to move column) |
| SED | Yellow | 5 points below standard | Increase 18.9 points (to move rows) |
| SWD | Orange | 70 points below standard | Increase 48.7 points (to move columns) |

| St. Group | Color | DFS/Percentage | Change |
|-----------|--------|----------------------------|------------------------------------------------|
| All | Yellow | 25 points below standard | Increase 7.9 points (to move rows) |
| EL | Yellow | 17.6 points below standard | Increase 10 points (to move a column) |
| Hisp | Yellow | 23.9 points below standard | Increase 10 points (to move column) |

| Metric/Indicator | Baseline | | | seline Expected Outcome | | | | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| | AA | No Performance Color | 71.9 points below standard | Increased ++3.2 points | AA | No performance color | 51.9 points below standard | Increase 20 points (to move column) |
| | SED | Yellow | 39.2 points below standard | Increased Significantly ++15 points | SED | Yellow | 25 points below standard | Increase 14.2 points (to move column) |
| | SWD | Red | 139.5 points below standard | Declined - 5.5 points | SWD | Orange | 95.1 points below standard | Increase 44.4 points (to move column) |
| California Science Test - Percent of Students Who Meet or Exceed Standard Grade 5 | California Science Test - Percent of Students Who Meet or Exceed Standard Grade 5 - 24.21% met or exceeded standards | | | California Science Test - Percent of Students Who Meet or Exceed Standard Grade 5 - 44.21% (54.74% were nearly met so the goal is to reduce this by half). | | | | |
| California School Dashboard – English Learner Progress Indicator (ELPI) | California School Dashboard - English Learner Progress Indicator (ELPI) ELPAC Baseline Results: 40% Dashboard Status and Percentage – Low-40% making progress towards English Proficiency. Level 4: Well Developed-20.86% (did not meet goal) Level 3: Moderately Developed-35.25% (did not meet goal) Level 2: Somewhat Developed-30.22% (did not meet goal) Level 1: Beginning Stage-13.67% (met goal) 33.3% Decreased at least one level. 26.6% Maintained ELPI Levels 1, 2L, 2H, 3L, 3H 5.7% Maintained Level 4 34.2% Progressed at least one level. | | | Indicator (ELPI) Dashboard Stat making progres Level 4: Well De Level 3: Modera Level 2: Somew | ol Dashboard - En ELPAC Baseline cus and Percentag s toward English I eveloped-25% or g ately Developed-4 that Developed-26 ing Stage-10% or | Results:44% le – Medium45% Proficiency. greater 0% or greater 6% or less | | |
| English Learner Redesignated Fluent English Proficient (RFEP) Reclassification Rate | English Learner Redesignated Fluent English Proficient (RFEP) Reclassification Rate - (RFEP) Reclassification Rate: 16.6% (exceeded goal). | | | English Learner Reclassification | Redesignated Flo Rate -18.6% | uent English Prof | icient (RFEP) | |
| 3rd Grade Smarter Balanced Assessment Consortium English Language Arts (SBAC ELA) Results | 3rd Grade Smarter Balanced Assessment Consortium English Language Arts (SBAC ELA) Results. (Percent of Students who Met or Exceeded Standard) All Students (ALL) ELA -51% (missed goal by 2%) | | | ts. I Standard) | Language Arts | rter Balanced Ass (SBAC ELA) Resu dents who Met or LL) ELA - 57% | ılts. | · · |

| Metric/Indicator | Baseline | Expected Outcome |
|-------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| All Students (ALL) | English Learners (EL): 23% (missed goal by 24%) Hispanic (Hisp): 51% (met goal) African American (AA): 34% (missed goal by 5%) Socioeconomically Disadvantaged (SED): 50% (exceeded goal by 1%) Students with Disabilities (SWD): 20% (missed goal by 9%). | English Learners (EL): 47% Hispanic (Hisp): 55% African American (AA): 39% Socioeconomically Disadvantaged (SED): 55% Students with Disabilities (SWD): 29% |
| Williams Textbook/Materials Compliance | Williams Textbook/Materials Compliance - 100% | Williams Textbook/Materials Compliance -100% |

Planned Strategies/Activities

Strategy/Activity 1

Teacher on Special Assignment: Fund a site Teacher on Special Assignment (TOSA). The role of this TOSA will be to provide behavioral support to students in an MTSS System.

Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

X All

Timeline

07/01/2022-06/30/2023

Person(s) Responsible

Dr. Ryan E. Saunders

Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

| Amount | 88,567 |
|------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Source | Title I |
| Budget Reference | 1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries |
| Description | A designated person to provide MTSS training and support to staff and direct support to students. |

Amount 96102

Source LCFF

Budget Reference 1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries

DescriptionA designated person to provide MTSS training and support to staff and direct support to students.

Strategy/Activity 2

Targeted Professional Development--Teacher Early Return for Collaboration and Planning

Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

X English Learner

X Foster Youth

X Low Income

X Students with Disabilities

X All

Timeline

07/01/2022-06/30/2023

Person(s) Responsible

Dr. Ryan Saunders

Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

Amount 12,000

Source LCFF

Budget Reference 1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries

DescriptionPay teachers to come back and receive professional development around MTSS and collaboration around team

strategies.

Strategy/Activity 3

Tier II: Teacher Tutoring

Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

X English Learner

X Students with Disabilities

Timeline

07/01/2022-06/30/2023

Person(s) Responsible

Dr. Saunders

Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

Amount 3000

Source LCFF

Budget Reference 1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries

DescriptionTeachers will provide tutoring before or after school to Tier II or Tier III students in need of additional support--prioritizing

EL and African American Students.

Amount 1559

Source Title I

Budget Reference 1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries

DescriptionTeachers will provide tutoring before or after school to Tier II or Tier III students in need of additional support--prioritizing

EL and African American Students.

Strategy/Activity 4

Tier I: Academic Supplemental Software: NewsELA /Head Sprout--Additional Software that support distance learning and hybrid learning.

Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

X All

Timeline

07/01/2022-06/30/2023

Person(s) Responsible

Dr. Saunders

Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

Amount 5500

Source LCFF

Budget Reference 4000-4999: Books And Supplies

DescriptionTeachers will be provided with an additional software that provides access to additional informational texts at various

reading levels. NewsELA, Headsprout, etc.

Strategy/Activity 5

Supplemental Best First Instruction Materials and Supplies to support intervention and implementation of curriculum.

Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

X All

Timeline

07/01/2022-06/30/2023

Person(s) Responsible

Dr. Saunders

Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

Amount 15346

Source LCFF

Budget Reference 4000-4999: Books And Supplies

DescriptionTeachers will be provided supplemental technology items to supplement items purchased in the general budget: Toner,

Headphones, Equipment, paper, etc. Including materials to support professional development goals from High Impact,

Kris Tom, Kagan, and ELD/SPED Training and support. Support Robotics Club, Chill Calming Room.

Strategy/Activity 6

Academic Enrichment Fieldtrips

Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

| V | Λ.Ι |
|---|-----|
| Χ | Αl |

Timeline

07/01/2022-06/30/2023

Person(s) Responsible

Dr. Saunders

Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

Amount 6.000

Source

Budget Reference None Specified

Description Each grade level will receive an allocation to ensure all students receive academically enriching field trips regardless of

ability to fundraise. These field trips will now be done in a virtual manner.

Strategy/Activity 7

Tier 2-Calming Centers

Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

X All

Specific Student Groups:

Students who are demonstrating significant behavioral challenges and need additional support to de-escalate and return to learning.

Timeline

<u>X</u>

07/01/2022-06/30/2023

Person(s) Responsible

Dr. Saunders, Counselor, TOSA

Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

Amount 1000

Source LCFF

Budget Reference

4000-4999: Books And Supplies

Description

Purchase calming materials, furniture.

Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures

Goal 2

Increase Parent and Community Partnerships

Goal Statement

Increase the engagement levels of families in school activities. Work to increase the Cahuilla attendance rate from 93.78% to the district goal of 96%.

LCAP Goal

Palm Springs Unified School District will collaborate with families and our local communities to develop and maintain positive parent, student, and community involvement and engagement to promote and support student success.

Identified Need

Average daily attendance rate is 87% and this is 9% below the district goal.

Chronic Absenteeism, according to Schoolzilla, is 55%, which is up 32 percentage points from the prior year.

Attendance will need to be a focus for the 2022/2023 school year.

Measuring and Reporting Results

| Metric/Indicator | Baseline | Expected Outcome |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Parent Participation in Stakeholder Input Processes | Parent Participation in Stakeholder Input Processes 7% provided responses. | Parent Participation in Stakeholder Input Processes - Increase by 28% to 35%. |
| Family School Connectedness via Panorama Family Climate Survey All Students (ALL) Hispanic (Hisp) African American (AA) | Family School Connectedness via Panorama Family Climate Survey All Students (ALL): 94% responded favorably. Hispanic (Hisp): 98% responded favorably African American (AA): Did not receive responses Confidentiality Protected: 87% | Family School Connectedness via Panorama Family Climate Survey All Students (ALL) - Not to fall below 95% Hispanic (Hisp) -Not to fall below 95% African American (AA) -Not to fall below 95% Confidentiality Protected: Not to fall below 95% |

| Metric/Indicator | Baseline | Expected Outcome |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Climate of Support for Academic Learning via Panorama Family Climate Survey All Students (ALL) Hispanic (Hisp) African American (AA) | Climate of Support for Academic Learning via Panorama Family Climate Survey All Students (ALL): 92% Hispanic (Hisp): 95% African American (AA): Did not receive responses Confidentiality Protected: 88% | Climate of Support for Academic Learning via Panorama Family Climate Survey All Students (ALL) - Maintain (not fall below 95%) Hispanic (Hisp) -Maintain (not fall below 95%) African American (AA) -Maintain (not fall below 95%) Confidentiality Protected: Not to fall below 95% |
| Number of Attendees Attending 1 or more school/parent center sponsored events at site | Number of Attendees Attending 1 or more school/parent center sponsored events at site: <3% of Cahuilla Families | Number of Parent Attendees attending 1 or more site/parent center sponsored events - Will aim to increase 42% to 45%. |

Planned Strategies/Activities

Strategy/Activity 1

Family Engagement Events--Core Content and Enrichment Events--SITE: CAES African American Parent Advisory Council, GATE Parent Advisory Council, ELAC

Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

- X English Learner
- X Low Income
- X Students with Disabilities
- Specific Student Groups:
 - All non-English speaking families.

Timeline

07/01/2022-06/30/2023

Person(s) Responsible

Dr. Saunders

Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

Amount 1.679

Source Title I Part A: Parent Involvement

Description Provide bilingual translators or interpreters who will help translate in meetings, conferences, and communication

materials.

Strategy/Activity 2

Attendance Intervention and Support Team

Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

X All

Specific Student Groups:
X

Students with Chronic Absenteeism

Timeline

07/01/2022-06/30/2023

Person(s) Responsible

Dr. Saunders, Counselor, TOSA

Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

Amount 0.00

Description Engage parents in support process when their children are experiencing chronic absenteeism or significant behavioral

challenges.

Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures

Goal 3

Maintain Healthy and Safe Learning Environment

Goal Statement

Cahuilla will implement a Tiered program to address the socio-emotional needs of students and staff.

- 1) ensure students, staff, and families reply with favorable responses in the panorama survey on the topics of Climate and Support for Academic Learning, Knowledge & Fairness of Discipline, Rules, and Norms, Safety, and Sense of Belonging. Cahuilla will strive to be in the 80-99th percentile for the overall responses on the surveys.
- 2) As for students and SEL, we will provide programs to increase favorable responses in growth mindset and self-management. The goal will be to have responses land in the 80-99th percentile for favorable responses.

LCAP Goal

Palm Springs Unified School District will provide healthy and physically and emotionally safe learning environments that foster and support all students.

Identified Need

Family Connectedness via Panorama Family Climate Survey: Positive Response Rate

All Students: 96% Hispanic: 97%

African American: Not reported

Confidentiality Protected: 93% (This groups needs additional engagement strategies to feel connected at the school.)

Climate of Support for Academic Learning via Panorama Family Climate Survey: Positive Response Rate

All Students: 90%

Hispanic: 93% (This group is significantly less that the confidentially protected reporting group).

African American: Not Reported Confidentiality Protected: 100%

Overall: It would seem that additional engagement strategies for African American families is needed to influence this data point. Students in the Confidentially Protected group has 100% positive response rate, but the parent perception is not the same.

Survey Results Indicated the following:

Student Results:

Climate of Support for Academic Learning...80% Knowledge and Fairness of Discipline....82% Sense of Belonging....77% Safety...67% (teasing being the major factor).

Family Results:

Climate of Support for Academic Learning...97% Knowledge and Fairness of Discipline....97% Sense of Belonging....98% Safety...99%

SEL Results:

Student favorable responses increase in all categories with the exception of 1: Social Awareness--it decreased by 2.

There is a need to focus on Student Climate Data:

67% responded favorably that they felt Safe or Very Safe at school, but 21% of the students had no opinion on the topic (neither safe nor unsafe). There is a need to target this subgroup who will remain in Cahuilla and see how we can help them feel safe or very safe at school.

Attendance Rates: 95.3%

Attendance rates, as a school, were moving in the right direction. African Americans are the only sub-group in Orange so this needs to be addressed.

Suspension Data: .99%

Suspensions were overall improved as a school. The following sub-groups were Medium (Orange): Homeless and Students with Disabilities, and High (Orange): White. These groups will need to be targeted for additional support during the year.

Due to the fact that there were no state assessments in 2020 and no fully updated CA Dashboard in 2020 all state related expected outcomes have been maintained from the 20-21 plans.

Measuring and Reporting Results

Metric/Indicator Baseline Expected Outcome

Student Attendance Rates All Students (ALL)

Student Attendance Rates All Students (ALL) - 95.3% Student Attendance Rates All Students (ALL) - 96%

Chronic Absenteeism Rates
All Students (ALL))
English Learner (EL)
Hispanic (Hisp)
African American (AA)
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged
(SED)

| St. Group | . Group Color DFS/Percentage | | Change |
|-----------|------------------------------|-----|-----------------------------------|
| All | Yellow | 11 | Declined Significantly -5.4 |
| EL | Green | 8.6 | Declined - 2.3 |

| St. Group | Color | DFS/Percentage | Change |
|-----------|--------|----------------|----------|
| All | Yellow | 9% | Declined |
| EL | Green | 7.5% | Declined |

| Metric/Indicator | Baseline | | | | Expected | Outcome | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|----------------|-----------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------|---------------------------------------|
| Students with Disabilities (SWD) | Hisp | Yellow | 10.3 | Declined Significantly | Hisp | Green | 8.3% | Declined |
| | AA | Orange | 18.9 | -4.9 Increased | AA | Green | 15% | Declined |
| | AA | Orange | 10.9 | +3.2 Declined | SED | Green | 10% | Declined |
| | SED | Yellow | 11.7 | Significantly -6 | SWD | Green | 10% | Declined |
| | SWD | Yellow | 12.7 | Declined -9 | | | | |
| Suspension Rates: All Students (ALL) | St. Group | Color | DFS/Percentage | Change | St. Group | Color | DFS/Percentage | Change |
| English Learner (EL) Hispanic (Hisp) | All | Green | 1.4 | Declined - 0.5 | All | Green | 1% | Declined Decrease by .4% |
| African American (AA) Socioeconomically Disadvantaged (SED) | EL | Blue Green | 0 0.8 | Maintained 0 Declined - | EL | Blue | 0 | Declined Maintain less than .5% |
| Students with Disabilities (SWD) | AA | Blue | 0 | 0.9 Declined - 4.5 | Hisp | Blue | 0.5% | Declined Decrease by |
| | SED | Green | 1.2 | Declined Significantly -1 | AA | Blue | 0 | Declined Maintain less than .5% |
| | SWD | Orange | 2.8 | Increased +0.4 | SED | Green | .9% | Declined Decrease by .3% |
| | | | | | SWD | Yellow | 2.5% | Declined Decrease by .3% |
| Expulsion Rates All Students (ALL) English Learner (EL) Hispanic (Hisp) African American (AA) | Expulsion Rates All Students (ALL):0% English Learner (EL):0% Hispanic (Hisp):0% African American (AA):0% Socioeconomically Disadvantaged (SED):0% Students with Disabilities (SWD):0% | | | | Expulsion Rate All Students (A English Learne Hispanic (Hisp African Americ | LL):0% er (EL):0%):0% | | |
| Panorama Survey – School Connectedness All Students (ALL) English Learner (EL) Hispanic (Hisp) | Panorama Survey - School Connectedness Baseline Data: All students: 77% responded favorably. EL: 69% responded favorably AA: 84% responded favorably | | | All Students (A English Learne Hispanic (Hisp | vey - School Conr LL) -80% Favoral er (EL) -80% Favo) - 87% Favorable an (AA) -80% Fav | ole Responses rable Responses | 8 | |

| Metric/Indicator | Baseline | Expected Outcome |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| African American (AA) | Hisp: 78% responded favorably | |
| Panorama Survey – School Safety All Students (ALL) English Learner (EL) Hispanic (Hisp) African American (AA) | Panorama Survey - School Safety Baseline Data: All students: 67% responded favorably EL: 62% responded favorably AA: 64% responded favorably Hisp: 69% responded favorably | Panorama Survey – School Safety All Students (ALL) -75% English Learner (EL) -75% Hispanic (Hisp) - 75% African American (AA) - 75% |
| Williams Facilities Inspection Results | Williams Facilities Inspection Results - Met | Williams Facilities Inspection Results -Met |

Planned Strategies/Activities

Strategy/Activity 1

Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

X All

Timeline

07/01/2022-06/30/2023

Person(s) Responsible

Dr. Saunders

Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

Amount 30800

Source LCFF

Budget Reference 2000-2999: Classified Personnel Salaries

DescriptionAdditional support to provide supervision and ensure a safe learning environment for students before and during school.

Strategy/Activity 2

Self-Manager Program

Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

X All

Timeline

2021/2022

Person(s) Responsible

Dr. Saunders

Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

Amount 0.00

Description Students gain input from a variety of stakeholders who evaluate them on a rubric aligned to the Character Counts

Program. Bring a focus to SEL aspects to help ensure it is a focus in this program.

Strategy/Activity 3

Supplemental Supervision Aides

Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

Timeline

Person(s) Responsible

Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

Centralized Services for Planned Improvements in Student Performance

The following actions and related expenditures support this site program's goals and will be performed as a centralized services. Note: the total amount of each categorical program must be aligned with the Consolidated Application.

| School Goal #1: Increase A | School Goal #1: Increase Academic Achievement | | | | | | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------|------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Actions to be Taken to Reach This Goal Consider all appropriate dimensions (e.g., Teaching & Learning, Staffing, & Professional development | Start Date Completion Date | Proposed Expenditure | Estimated Cost | Funding Source (itemize for each source) | | | |
| High Impact Math Professional Development | July 1, 2021 - June 30, 2022 | Consultants and substitutes to support the implementation of math routines and strategies for the development of conceptual understanding. | 39,609 | Title I | | | |
| Supplemental Interventions – extended day tutoring and extended year academies | July 1, 2022 - June 30, 2023 | Consultant and substitutes to support rigorous English language arts instruction and the gradual release of responsibility model. | 11,875 | Title I | | | |
| Technology Teacher on Assignment (TOSA) | July 1, 2022 - June 30, 2023 | Consultant and substitutes to support cooperative learning structures implementation. | 4,268 | Title I | | | |
| Supplemental Interventions – extended day tutoring and extended year academies | July 1, 2021 - June 30, 2022 | Provide targeted interventions and supports to students through tutoring beyond the school day and during extended year opportunities. | 38,867 | Title I | | | |
| | | Support students and staff with the integration of technology into instruction. | 6,083 | Title II | | | |

| School Goal #2: Increase Parent and Community Partnerships | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------|------------------------------------------------|--|--|
| Actions to be Taken to Reach This Goal | Start Date Completion | Proposed Expenditure | Estimated Cost | Funding Source (itemize for each source) | | |
| Consider all appropriate dimensions (e.g. , Teaching & Learning, Staffing, & Professional development | Date | | | | | |
| Family engagement events and classes | July 1, 2021 - June 30, 2022 | Parenting Classes on effective strategies and structures. Parent/community engagement events | 1,851 | Title I | | |

| School Goal #3: Maintain Healthy and Safe Learning Environment | | | | | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|----------------|------------------------------------------------|--|
| Actions to be Taken to Reach This Goal Consider all appropriate dimensions (e.g., Teaching & Learning, Staffing, & Professional development | Start Date Completion Date | Proposed Expenditure | Estimated Cost | Funding Source (itemize for each source) | |
| Conscious Education Professional Development | July 1, 2021 - June 30, 2022 | Training, substitutes and accompanying books and materials. | 3,703 | Title IV | |
| Youth Mental Health First Aid Training | July 1, 2022 - June 30, 2023 | Training and accompanying books and materials | 2,962 | Title IV | |
| | | Training, substitutes and accompanying books and materials. | 1,250 | Title IV | |

Note: Centralized services may include the following direct services:

- Evidence-based instructional strategies, curriculum development, school climate, and data disaggregation for instructional staff
- District-wide staff providing specific services to schools, e.g., English Language Development Coordinator, Teachers on Special Assignment, Instructional Coaches
- After-school and Summer School programs funded by categorical programs
- Data analysis services, software, and training for assessment of student progress

Centralized Services do not include administrative costs.

Budget Summary and Consolidation

Complete the table below. Schools may include additional information. Adjust the table as needed. The Budget Summary is required for schools funded through the ConApp, and/or that receive funds from the LEA for Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI).

Budget Summary

| Description | Amount |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|
| Total Funds Provided to the School Through the Consolidated Application | \$91,805 |
| Total Federal Funds Provided to the School from the LEA for CSI | \$ |
| Total Funds Budgeted for Strategies to Meet the Goals in the SPSA | \$261,553.00 |

Allocations by Funding Source

| Funding Source | Amount | Balance |
|------------------------------------|---------|-----------|
| Title I | 111,028 | 20,902.00 |
| Title I Part A: Parent Involvement | 1,679 | 0.00 |
| LCFF | 169,748 | 0.00 |

Other Federal, State, and Local Funds

List the additional Federal programs that the school is including in the schoolwide program. Adjust the table as needed. If the school is not operating a Title I schoolwide program this section is not applicable and may be deleted.

| Federal Programs | Allocation (\$) |
|------------------------------------|-----------------|
| Title I | \$90,126.00 |
| Title I Part A: Parent Involvement | \$1,679.00 |

Subtotal of additional federal funds included for this school: \$91,805.00

List the State and local programs that the school is including in the schoolwide program. Duplicate the table as needed.

| State or Local Programs | Allocation (\$) | |
|-------------------------|-----------------|--|
| | \$0.00 | |
| LCFF | \$169,748.00 | |

Subtotal of state or local funds included for this school: \$169,748.00

| Total of federal, state, and/or local funds for this school: \$261,553.00 | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

Expenditures by Funding Source

LCFF

Title I

Funding Source

Title I Part A: Parent Involvement

Amount

| 0.00 |
|------------|
| 169,748.00 |
| 90,126.00 |
| 1,679.00 |

Expenditures by Budget Reference

Budget Reference

| 1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries |
|--------------------------------------------|
| 2000-2999: Classified Personnel Salaries |
| 4000-4999: Books And Supplies |
| None Specified |

Amount

| 1,679.00 |
|------------|
| 201,228.00 |
| 30,800.00 |
| 21,846.00 |
| 6,000.00 |

Expenditures by Budget Reference and Funding Source

| Budget Reference | Budget Reference Funding Source | |
|--------------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------|
| | | 0.00 |
| | | 0.00 |
| 1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries | LCFF | 111,102.00 |
| 2000-2999: Classified Personnel Salaries | LCFF | 30,800.00 |
| 4000-4999: Books And Supplies | LCFF | 21,846.00 |
| None Specified | LCFF | 6,000.00 |
| 1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries | Title I | 90,126.00 |
| | Title I Part A: Parent Involvement | 1,679.00 |

School Site Council Membership

California Education Code describes the required composition of the School Site Council (SSC). The SSC shall be composed of the principal and representatives of: teachers selected by teachers at the school; other school personnel selected by other school personnel at the school; parents of pupils attending the school selected by such parents; and, in secondary schools, pupils selected by pupils attending the school. The current make-up of the SSC is as follows:

- 1 School Principal
- 3 Classroom Teachers
- 1 Other School Staff
- 5 Parent or Community Members

| Name of Members | Principal | Classroom Teacher | Other School Staff | Parent or Community Member | Secondary Students |
|--------------------------------------|-----------|----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Mr. Ryan Saunders | X | | | | |
| Mr. Jeffrey Kopatz (Year 1) | | X | | | |
| Ms. Rosie Distefano (Year 1) | | X | | | |
| Mr. Nicholas Higgins (Year 1) | | X | | | |
| Dr. Chris "Sam" Smith (Year 2) | | | X | | |
| Ms. Maribel Cantu (Year 2) | | | | X | |
| Ms. Ashley Kuregian (Year 1) | | | | X | |
| Ms. Alyssa Singer (Year 2) | | | | X | |
| Ms. Rocio Arana (Year 1) | | | | X | |
| Ms. Cristal Riccio (Year 2) | | | | X | |
| Numbers of members of each category: | 1 | 3 | 1 | 5 | |

At elementary schools, the school site council must be constituted to ensure parity between (a) the principal, classroom teachers, and other school personnel, and (b) parents of students attending the school or other community members. Classroom teachers must comprise a majority of persons represented under section (a). At secondary schools there must be, in addition, equal numbers of parents or other community members selected by parents, and students. Members must be selected by their peer group.

Recommendations and Assurances

The School Site Council (SSC) recommends this school plan and proposed expenditures to the district governing board for approval and assures the board of the following:

The SSC is correctly constituted and was formed in accordance with district governing board policy and state law.

The SSC reviewed its responsibilities under state law and district governing board policies, including those board policies relating to material changes in the School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) requiring board approval.

The SSC sought and considered all recommendations from the following groups or committees before adopting this plan:

Signature

Ryan Eduraders
Nich Thaggin

Committee or Advisory Group Name

Other: The leadership team met to review the draft SPSA and provide input on April 19, 2021

The entire staff met on April 28, 2021 to review the SPSA input and draft SPSA to be presented to SSC.

The staff reviewed the Panorama Survey input from parents and students at a staff meeting on April 22, 2021.

The SSC reviewed the content requirements for school plans of programs included in this SPSA and believes all such content requirements have been met, including those found in district governing board policies and in the local educational agency plan.

This SPSA is based on a thorough analysis of student academic performance. The actions proposed herein form a sound, comprehensive, coordinated plan to reach stated school goals to improve student academic performance.

This SPSA was adopted by the SSC at a public meeting on 10/19/2022.

Attested:

Principal, Ryan E. Saunders, Ed.D. on 10/19/2022

SSC Chairperson, on 10/19/2022

Title I and LCFF Funded Program Evaluation

Goal #1:

Cahuilla Elementary will work to close the achievement gap in significant student groups and increase student achievement by, 1) providing best first instruction, 2) targeted intervention and support, 3) access to quality instructional materials, supplies, and programming.

| Actions/ Activities (Strategies) | What is working and why? (Effective indicators) Specific evidence/indicators of success/effectiveness in implementing this activity or strategy, including: | What is not working and why? (Ineffective indicators) Specific evidence/indicators showing that this activity or strategy is not working, including: | Modification(s) based on evaluation results Continue or discontinue and why? |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Teacher on Special Assignment: Fund a site Teacher on Special Assignment (TOSA). The role of this TOSA will be to provide behavioral support to students in an MTSS System. | collaborating with other departments/organizations | Nothing to report at this time. | The TOSA Position will be maintained with an emphasis on continuing to reduce suspension rates, increase attendance, and support reading groups. |
| Targeted Professional DevelopmentTeacher Early Return for Collaboration and Planning | receive training and time to collaborate and plan for initiatives related to High | this opportunity leaving some | eliminated from the |
| Tier II: Teacher Tutoring | We did have at least one teacher offer tutoring to students beyond the school day. The person did serve students from her own class, and it did positively impact 8-12 students. | Many teachers did not take on the added task of providing additional tutoring beyond the day. One teacher is not reaching a significant number of kids. | This action will ultimately be continued as a lower funding amount in order to provide teachers, who are willing, the opportunity to provide tutoring to students within their own classes |

| | | | no matter how small or large the group may be. |
|----------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Software: NewsELA /Head SproutAdditional Software that support | were purchased throughout the year. Learning Dynamics was purchased and well | There did not need to be such an amount allocated for additional software. Much of the items were either covered by PSUSD or ended up being replaced by a software that did not have significant cost. | This action will continue but will be significantly reduced to pay for Learning Dynamics and an SEL supplemental software. |
| | technology, and software. These items included instructional materials above and beyond what is normally provided | distance learning and in hybrid, these items were | changes to be made to this action. The purchased materials were appropriate and |
| Academic Enrichment Fieldtrips | | There is nothing to add here. All grade levels successfully took an academic field trip. | This action will not be modified and will continue as it will be essential in the next year when we return to in-person instruction. |
| Tier 2-Calming Centers | This action was partially implemented by some select teachers within their classrooms. The teachers who bought into this found it to be very successful with challenging students and we see a decline in suspensions. | This didn't receive the attention it needed to successfully be implemented in all classes. | This action will be continued and implemented in all classrooms as a part of our Tier 1 program. |

Goal #2:

Increase the engagement levels of families in school activities. Work to increase the Cahuilla attendance rate from 93.78% to the district goal of 96%.

| Actions/ Activities (Strategies) | What is working and why? (Effective indicators) Specific evidence/indicators of success/effectiveness in implementing this activity or strategy, including: | What is not working and why? (Ineffective indicators) Specific evidence/indicators showing that this activity or strategy is not working, including: | Modification(s) based on evaluation results Continue or discontinue and why? |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Family Engagement EventsCore Content and Enrichment Events SITE: CAES African American Parent Advisory Council, GATE Parent Advisory Council, ELAC | We did see a marked improvement in family engagement during the school year. We hosted 4 community events in partnership with our PTO, Rotary Club, PSUSD Parent Center, and our own site sponsored events. We had strong participation in Black History Month. | There is still a need to increase participation in engagement in other culture groups. The Previous groups were combined to be called the CAES Parent Advisory Group and attendance was consistent, but small. | This action will continue as it does not have any associated costs and will continue to grow as we spread communication and build capacity. |
| Attendance Intervention and Support Team | This was very successful this year. We utilized the SART Process to form partnerships with families in order to increase positive attendance and decrease chronic absenteeism. Chronic Absenteeism is currently decreased by 10.2%. | students and provide ada | |

Goal #3:

Cahuilla will implement a Tiered program to address the socio-emotional needs of students and staff.

- 1) ensure students, staff, and families reply with favorable responses in the panorama survey on the topics of Climate and Support for Academic Learning, Knowledge & Fairness of Discipline, Rules, and Norms, Safety, and Sense of Belonging. Cahuilla will strive to be in the 80-99th percentile for the overall responses on the surveys.
- 2) As for students and SEL, we will provide programs to increase favorable responses in growth mindset and self-management. The goal will be to have responses land in the 80-99th percentile for favorable responses.

| Actions/ Activities (Strategies) | What is working and why? (Effective indicators) Specific evidence/indicators of success/effectiveness in implementing this activity or strategy, including: | What is not working and why? (Ineffective indicators) Specific evidence/indicators showing that this activity or strategy is not working, including: | Modification(s) based on evaluation results Continue or discontinue and why? |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | - | | |
| Self-Manager Program | The self-manager program continues to be a success. We have nearly 100 self managers, which is 25% of the student body. | There is a need to help celebrate self-manager status and bolster it to a higher level of honor. | emphasis on the status |

| | | and privileges afforded to self-managers. |
|--------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Supplemental Supervision Aides | This action was not fully implemented due to funding increases in other personnel costs and locating employees to consistently working in the positions. | This action will be discontinued due to overall increases in personnel costs. |